1 Appearances 2 For the Government: Laura E. Duffy UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 3 William P. Cole Caroline P. Han ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS 4 Steven Ward, Trial Attorney 5 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 880 Front Street, Suite 6293 6 San Diego, CA 92101 7 For the Defendants: (Mr. Moalin) Joshua L. Dratel, Esq. 8 Alice Fontier, Esq. OFFICE OF JOSHUA L. DRATEL 9 2 Wall Street, Third Floor New York, NY 10005 10 (Mr. M. Mohamud) Linda Moreno, Esq. 11 LINDA MORENO, P.A. P.O. Box 10985 12 Tampa, FL 33679 13 (Mr. Doreh) Ahmed Ghappour, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF AHMED GHAPPOUR 14 P.O. Box 20367 Seattle, WA 98102 15 (Mr. A. Mohamud) Thomas A. Durkin, Esq. 16 Janis Roberts, Esq. DURKIN & ROBERTS 17 2446 N. Clark Street Chicago, IL 60614 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

San Diego, California - Wednesday, February 13, 2013 (Defendant A. Mohamud is being assisted by a Somali interpreter.) THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Looks like we have everyone again on time, and we are ready to proceed. Overheard in the halls this morning was some speculation on why the number of this courtroom was changed from 16 to 5D and right in the middle of a trial, and so I thought I'd take this opportunity to advise you that because of the construction of the courthouse annex that's away in back of us to the west, everything has been renumbered; all the 12 courtrooms in this original courthouse have been renumbered 13 to correspond to the floor and a particular designation on that floor -- for example, 5A through D; we have four 15 courtrooms on this floor. So it just happened to occur 16 midtrial, and for those of you who were wondering or questioning whether you were in the right courtroom, the right courthouse, yes. And we're ready to go once again. 19 Okay. All right. I think we have some further deposition --MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- or transcript material?

MR. DRATEL: We have a witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's correct, thank you.

MR. DRATEL: Defense calls Abdisalam Guled.

THE CLERK: Can you please raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear that the evidence you shall give in the 1 2 cause now before the Court shall be the truth, the whole 3 truth, and nothing but the truth? 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 5 Abdisalam Yusuf Guled 6 was called by the defense and testified as follows: 7 THE CLERK: Speaking into the microphone, can you 8 please state and spell your first and last name for the 9 record. THE WITNESS: Salam Yusuf Guled. My first name's 10 11 Abdisalam, middle name Yusuf, surname Guled. 12 THE CLERK: Can you please spell it for the record. 13 THE WITNESS: Starting the first name, Abdisalam is 14 Alpha, Bravo, Delta, India, Sierra, Alpha, Lima, Alpha, Mike; 15 middle name, Yankee, Uniform, Sierra, Uniform, Foxtrot; 16 surname, Golf, Uniform, Lima, Echo, Delta. 17 Direct Examination 18 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Good morning, Mr. Guled. 19 Good morning, sir. Α. 20 Ο. Where were you born? 21 I was born in Guraceel. Α. 22 Q. In Somalia? 23 Α. Somalia. 24 Ο. Do you know Basaaly Moalin?

25

Α.

I do.

- 1 Q. Do you know Farah Shidane, also known as Farah Yare?
- 2 **A.** I do.
- 3 Q. Have you met him?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did you spend time with him in Guraceel in 2008?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Did you also spend time with him in Mogadishu?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you spend time with him in Nairobi, Kenya?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, is your -- withdrawn. Are members of your family
- 12 still in Guraceel?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And where did you grow up?
- 15 A. I was grown up in Mogadishu.
- 16 Q. And did -- at some point did you leave Somalia?
- 17 **|** A. I did.
- 18  $\|Q$ . In what year?
- 19 A. I left Somalia in 1994.
- 20 Q. And ultimately where did you settle?
- 21 A. I actually came to Africa and Ethiopia, Kenya, then I --
- 22 | South Africa, then I came to London.
- 23 Q. And have you lived in London as your residence since
- 24 then?
- 25 A. Yeah. Since 2006 -- 1996, sorry.

- 1 Q. 1996. Okay. And how far in education did you get in
- 2 Somalia before you left?
- 3 A. Secondary.
- 4 Q. And did you continue your education once you got to the
- 5 United Kingdom?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. And did you -- well, did you go to college in the United
- 8 Kingdom?
- 9 A. Yes, I went to college.
- 10 Q. Did you get a degree?
- 11 A. I did college, then I did a BA in broadcasting journalism
- 12 | in University of -- Metropolitan University in London, and
- 13 then I did MA in Migration and Diaspora Anthropology at
- 14 University of London.
- 15 Q. If you could just slow down a bit. Did you say Migration
- 16 and Diaspora Anthropology?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- at University of London. And when did you receive
- 19 that degree, the master's degree?
- 20 A. 2007.
- 21 Q. Okay. And did you have a thesis project for your
- 22 | master's?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. And what was the title of that?
- 25 A. Governing Without Government.

1 Q. And just give us a subject matter briefly.

MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor; relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.

4 THE WITNESS: I was -- when I was doing my thesis,

5 I realized it was very important to recall the lives of the

Somalis, who had been without a government for over 17 years.

And at that point there was transport, there was

8 telecommunication, university, and schools, and so forth. So

I actually discussed with my supervisor at university and I

said I need to do this thesis in Somalia in regard of a

governing without government, how teach people to manage to

12 live that long a period of time, 17 years, without any form

13 of government but they had a university, schools, and

telecommunications.

- 15 Q. And so what period of time were you working on your
- 16 master's project?

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

- 17 A. Late 2006 and 2007.
- 18 Q. Now, have you been employed since you graduated college?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. And can you tell us what employment you've had since
- 21 | college, if you could just sort of summarize for us.
- 22 A. What I was doing in college, actually I was doing a
- 23 part-time security officer, and when I graduated from
- 24 master -- my MA, then I worked in Somali government, with
- 25 | Somali government.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what was your position with the Somali
- 2 government. I -- what was your position with the Somali
- 3 ||government?
- 4 A. First I worked as a communication advisor and
- 5 | spokesperson for Somali prime minister, Omar Abdirashid, and
- 6 then I shifted to the Minister of Interior/National Security
- 7 as the head of a external department on counterterrorism.
- 8  $\blacksquare$ Q. And was this for the TFG?
- 9  $\blacksquare$ A. TFG, yes.
- 10 Q. And was it the TFG, Sheik Ahmed Sharif as the -- Sheik
- 11 | Sharif Ahmed as the president?
- 12 A. Sharif Sheik Ahmed is the president, yes.
- 13 Q. And in your role as national security advisor for the
- 14 | Somali government during that period, did you have a -- an
- 15 | opportunity to come to the United States?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 | Q. And could you give us a background of why you came to the
- 18 United States as part of your role there.
- 19  $\|A$ . When I was working with national security, there was a --
- 20 U.S. Embassy in Nairobi had a discussion with my ministry,
- 21 and they said we have a program called Leadership Physics --
- 22 | Physical Leadership -- what name was it -- provided by the
- 23 State Department, so they said we need you to have someone on
- 24 that role, and then I was nominated by my ministry, and I was
- 25 part of that program.

- Q. And during that trip did you happen to come to San Diego at some point?
  - A. I did.

- Q. And what was -- as part of the official part of your trip to San Diego, what did you do for the State Department?
- 6 MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor. If we could get
  7 the time frame on this.
- 8 THE COURT: Yes, I was wondering the same thing.
  9 If you could, Mr. Dratel.
- 10 MR. DRATEL: Yes.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. What time -- when -- what year did you come to San Diego?
- 15 | A. Was August of 2009.
- 16 Q. And so --
- 17 MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor; irrelevant.
- MR. DRATEL: Well, it's just --
- 19 THE COURT: I'm going to -- I know the witness has
  20 not been called as a expert, he's a the fact witness, so just
  21 a brief summary of employment to the present would be
  22 acceptable.
- 23 MR. DRATEL: I'm literally on the last two questions, your Honor.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. So just if you could tell us

- 1 | what happened in your -- terms of your visit here to San
- 2 Diego, what you did with the State Department here.
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A. I was taken to -- me and another group, we were taken to
- 4 | the border, the Mexican border, and were taken to detention
- 5 | facility, where we were shown how border agencies controls
- 6 security on a borderline.
- 7 Q. Do you know Matthew Bryden?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. And how do you know Matthew Bryden?
- 10 A. He was working with the UN in the desk of Somalia, so
- 11 when I was working with the government, we were quite often
- 12 come to meeting where we discussed the security issues in
- 13 Horn of Africa.
- 14 Q. Okay. And have you ever testified before in court?
- 15 **|** A. No.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, want to take you back to Mogadishu, Somalia,
- 17 | late 2006, early 2007. Were you there?
- 18 **A.** I was.
- 19 Q. Were you working on your master's project at the time?
- 20 A. As a field worker, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And in late 2006, early 2007 in Mogadishu, who was
- 22 | in control initially when you got there?
- 23 A. 2006 was controlled by the Union of Islamic Courts called
- 24 the UIC.
- 25 Q. And did something change with respect to the control of

- 1 Mogadishu in early 2007?
- 2 A. In late December, 30th of December, Islamic courts were
- 3 swept out from Mogadishu and their power, and they fled; and
- 4 the Ethiopian government, supported by Somali TFG, came to
- 5 power in Mogadishu.
- 6 Q. And did that involve Ethiopian troops in Mogadishu?
- 7 A. The whole thing were Ethiopian troops, but the name was
- 8 the Somali government.
- 9 Q. And was there combat, fighting?
- 10 A. When they came to Mogadishu, there was no combat, but
- 11 | Islamic courts actually left at that period but actual combat
- 12 | happened later on.
- 13 Q. So tell us about the combat later on in 2007.
- 14 A. In March or late February 2007, what happened was local
- 15 resident completely disagreed with the Ethiopians' existence
- 16 in Somalia and regarded as destroying, an invasion, so they
- 17 | rose against it.
- 18 MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor. This is not a
- 19 | fact witness. I object as this is expert testimony, or lack
- 20 of foundation.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, it may be mixed; I think you may
- 22 have a point there. But if this is all preliminary, once
- 23 again, if we could get through this area in summary fashion
- 24 because I know we've had quite a bit of evidence on this
- 25 already.

```
MR. DRATEL: And he's also, your Honor -- I'll get
 1
 2
     to the foundational parts.
 3
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. You were in Mogadishu
 4
    witnessing this at the time, right?
 5
        I was witnessing when this conflict took place, and I
 6
    documented, documentary when there was a cease-fire in that
 7
    period where the, you know, the dead bodies was collected by
    the Somali Red Crescent.
 8
        And these were civilians, right --
 9
10
    Α.
       Civilians, yes.
11
        -- who were killed by Ethiopian bombardment?
        Bomb shellings, yeah.
12
    Α.
13
       And this is March 2007?
    Q.
14
        March 2007 to April 2007.
    Α.
15
       And when you say you documented it, you did a documentary
    Q.
16
    film.
17
    A. A film, yes.
18
    Q. And --
19
               THE COURT: Excuse me. March of 2007 until when of
    2007?
20
21
               THE WITNESS: April.
22
               THE COURT: The remainder of 2007?
23
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was continued actually,
```

BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Did you lose any family members

constant fighting for those two months.

- 1 | at that time?
- 2 A. Seven members of my family, including my own brother and
- 3 | his --
- 4 MR. COLE: Objection, relevance.
- 5 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 6 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Now, I want to talk to you
- 7 | about something called Ceellasha Biyaha; is that familiar to
- 8 you?
- 9 A. Ceellasha Biyaha, yes.
- 10 Q. Is it also known by another name?
- 11 A. Kio ketaceen (phonetic), IDP camps.
- 12 Q. Okay. And when you say IDP, you mean internally
- 13 | displaced persons?
- 14 A. Indeed.
- 15 Q. And is that person who -- is that a sort of a technical
- 16 | international definition?
- 17 | A. What international community called IDP, international
- 18 | internally displaced, but the Somalis call Ceellasha Biyaha.
- 19 Q. And can you explain what that -- is that near or outside
- 20 Mogadishu?
- 21 A. It is in the main route from Mogadishu to Afgooye, and it
- 22 | is I think 13 kilometers from Mogadishu.
- 23  $\blacksquare$ Q. And while you were there in that period of late 2006 and
- 24 2007, is that when that particular area developed with
- 25 people?

- 1 A. The whole displacement started that period, late February
- 2 to till June, middle of 2007, and people fled to that area.
- 3  $\blacksquare$ Q. And tell us what that area is, please.
- 4 **||**A. It is --
- 5 MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor; this is
- 6 ||cumulative of prior testimony.
- 7 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 8 THE WITNESS: It is complete --
- 9 THE COURT: Wait, sir --
- 10 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, I just have to say we have
- 11 | not had direct testimony about it. We had testimony from
- 12 Mr. Bryden. This is an eyewitness to it.
- 13 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. So at some point in the spring
- 16 of 2007, did you return to London?
- 17 **|** A. I did.
- 18 | Q. And did you remain active in monitoring what was
- 19 | happening in Somalia?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And did you decide to do something?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23  $\blacksquare$ Q. And tell us what you decided to do and how that came
- 24 about.
- 25 A. When I came to London, it was a very bad situation on the

- 1 ground, so the community in London, as well as me, we decided
- 2 to do something, to do fundraising, to do -- something what's
- 3 | happening on the ground considering there's no government
- 4 taking care of it. So I was involved --
- 5 Q. When you say -- when you say no government and a bad
- 6 situation on the ground, are you referring to -- this is in
- 7 | Somalia?
- 8 A. In Somalia, yes. And there was -- so there was a
- 9 community gathering and conferences, fundraising so forth and
- 10 so forth to works, helping and contributing to the Somalis
- 11 and IDP camps as well as other needs.
- 12 Q. Did you decide -- did you and others decide to
- 13 | concentrate on a specific area of Somalia?
- 14 A. Yes, Galgaduud.
- 15 Q. Sorry? Galgaduud?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And why Galgaduud?
- 18 A. Because that's where I'm from, that's my family are,
- 19 | that's where I have relatives.
- 20 Q. And was there a particular need with respect to Galgaduud
- 21 at that time --
- 22 A. There was --
- 23 Q. -- mid 2007?
- 24 A. There was nothing in place. When I say nothing, there
- 25 was no water, no hospitals, no security. There was nothing

- 1 | in place, and a great number of people who fled from
- 2 Mogadishu actually went to that place.
- 3  $\blacksquare$ Q. And was the government able to -- the government of
- 4 | Somalia providing services to those people?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Were the Ethiopian troops or was the Ethiopian government
- 7 providing anything to those people?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did that area have its own local government at that time?
- 10 **A.** Sorry?
- 11 Q. Did that area have its own local government at that time?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Now, when you said security, what was the -- what was the
- 14 security situation in Guraceel and Galgaduud -- withdrawn.
- 15 Galgaduud is a province, right?
- 16 A. Yeah, it's a region.
- 17 Q. Yes. And Guraceel is a city in Galgaduud?
- 18 A. It's a district, yeah.
- 19 Q. Dhusa Mareeb is in Galgaduud?
- 20 A. It's a city in Galgaduud region.
- 21 Q. And there are other smaller cities in Galgaduud as well?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is Matabaan in Galgaduud?
- 24 A. It's in Hiraan side, but it's a borderline between Hiraan
- 25 and Galgaduud.

- 1 Q. What was the security situation that you were concerned
- 2 about in Galgaduud? Explain what the problem was.
  - A. It was very bad.

- 4 MR. COLE: Your Honor, can we get a time frame?
- 5 MR. DRATEL: Sure.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Mid 2007.
- 7 THE WITNESS: It was very bad. There was road
- 8 blocks by the militias, there was raping and robbery, and any
- 9 kind of criminal activities you can call was taking place.
- 10 Q. Was there also Ethiopian troops in the area?
- 11 A. They were actually before -- around in May they were.
- 12 Q. And was al-Shabaab also a security threat in the area?
- 13 A. Absolutely.
- 14 Q. And there was a need for the community to defend itself
- 15 | from these elements?
- 16  $\blacksquare$ A. They did.
- 17  $\|Q$ . And what kind of solution did you and the others with
- 19 | organizing?
- 20 A. No, community in different places in Europe, in
- 21 | Scandinavia, in America, in Africa.
- 22 Q. So the whole Somali diaspora?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And so what was -- take us through the solutions that you
- 25 and the other diaspora communities tried to implement in

- 1 | Galgaduud.
- 2 A. For those of us who actually concentrate on the central
- 3 regions, we decided to have schools and, you know, some kind
- 4 of life in there, but, again, we understood there was no --
- 5 there's nothing we can put in place unless we have security.
- 6 So we sent some individuals from Mogadishu to central
- 7 | regions, and we said can you just coordinate with someone on
- 8 the ground and coordinate diaspora and the local community,
- 9 and they did. So they formed -- we formed some kind of --
- 10 | call it ILEYS Foundation, and at that point we just education
- 11 umbrella and doing security for local community, organizing
- 12 the community to come up with some kind of a local
- 13 | administration.
- 14 Q. And I just want to go back a little bit. You said you
- 15 sent people to the central region for purposes of forming an
- 16 administration.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And can you tell us the names of some of those people who
- 19 you sent.
- 20 A. Abukar Suryare --
- 21 THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down on the
- 22 | names, please.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. I'll try to spell them, and you
- 24 tell me --
- 25 A. Abukar Suryare.

- 1 | Q. A-b-u-k-a-r, S-u-r-y-a-r-e?
- 2 A. Yeah. Farah Yare.
- 3  $\blacksquare$ Q. F-a-r-a-h, last name Y-a-r-a.
- 4 A. "E."
- 5 | Q. I'm sorry, Y-a-r-e.
- 6 A. Mohamad Tahlil, Ahmed Nuva Odeen (phonetic), and, you
- 7 know, some other members.
- 8 0. So Taalil would be like T-a-a-l-i-l?
- 9 A. What's that?
- 10  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Taalil.
- 11 A. Tahlil is actually as it sound; it's T-a-h-l-i-l, Tahlil.
- 12 Q. Thank you. And ILEYS, you mentioned the ILEYS
- 13 || Foundation?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And ILEYS is I-L-A-Y-S (sic)?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17  $\|Q$ . And what does that mean in Somali? Is that a word in
- 18 | Somali?
- 19 A. Yeah, it's a light or vision.
- 20 Q. Okay. And in terms of Galgaduud as a whole, is it -- is
- 21 there -- is there an importance in Somalia with respect to
- 22 | Galgaduud geographically?
- 23 A. There's -- Galgaduud, actually the main road connected
- 24 | from south to the north, which is called Somaliland, the main
- 25 road actually run through Galgaduud region.

- 1 Q. And did that contribute to the security problems that
- 2 | existed in Galgaduud in mid 2007?
- 3 A. Very much actually. If there's a lack of security in
- 4 that region, that will affect it in many people, not only the
- 5 people that reside in that region but the people traveling
- 6 along the road.
- 7  $\blacksquare$ Q. And was also -- was there a demographic importance to
- 8 || Galgaduud as well?
- 9 **A.** It is.
- 10 Q. And can you explain that.
- 11 A. Many regions in Somalia, as you may know, presided by a
- 12 particular tribe, which is makes more easier for security in
- 13 some other regions. Galgaduud is different than those
- 14 regions. It's presided more than six, five different clans,
- 15 and that makes -- if there's no government, when there's a
- 16 government, it makes actually difficult to manage security.
- 17 | Q. Now, you're familiar with the geography of Galgaduud,
- 18 || right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you've been there --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. -- right? And how far is it from Guraceel to Dhusa
- 23 Mareeb?
- 24 A. Around 40, 50.
- 25 Q. What, kilometers?

- 1 A. Kilometers.
- 2  $\blacksquare$ Q. Forty to 50 kilometers.
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. How far from Guraceel to Matabaan?
- 5 A. Less, 40, around 30 something to 40.
- 6 Q. And you said that's in Hiraan?
- 7 A. Guraceel -- Matabaan is in the Hiraan side, yeah.
- 8 0. So from Guraceel to Hiraan is about the same?
- 9 A. It's longer than that; it's around 70, 80.
- 10 Q. And from Guraceel to Galcaio?
- 11 A. It's about -- it's about 150, around that.
- 12 ||Q. Kilometers?
- 13 A. Yeah, kilometers, yeah.
- 14 Q. And that's to the north?
- 15 A. To the south -- to the northeast.
- 16 Q. Northeast.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18  $\|Q$ . Okay. So I want to talk about ILEYS. And were you one
- 19 of the founders of ILEYS?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what was the purpose of ILEYS?
- 22 A. When we decided to have -- to do something in the region,
- 23 we need, we actually try to come up with something, the name,
- 24 and some kind of a foundational umbrella. So ILEYS come up,
- 25 the name ILEYS come up, and we agreed that's the name we

- 1 should call the education foundation of that region.
- 2  $\parallel$ Q. So it was -- so ILEYS itself was dedicated to
- 3 | education --
- 4 ∥A. Education --
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$ . -- principally?
- 6 **|**A. -- yes.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Did it expand into other areas over time?
- 8 A. The guys who were actually doing the ILEYS Foundation as
- 9 a part of education, as education, were involved with
- 10 | humanitarianism and local administration as well.
- 11 Q. So what kind of projects in addition to education --
- 12 | well, let's start with education. What kind of educational
- 13 projects did ILEYS operate?
- 14 A. Before -- before ILEYS actually formed, there was no
- 15 | education whatsoever at any level at that place.
- 16 Q. And we're talking about Galgaduud now, right?
- 17 A. Galgaduud, yeah. And so there were -- we formed
- 18 secondary school and primary school, different genders
- 19 actually, and different age in Galgaduud, in Dhusa Mareeb,
- 20 | Guraceel, Matabaan, and other remote areas.
- 21 | Q. And that's in 2007?
- 22 A. 2007, yes.
- 23 Q. And what other -- there are other projects that ILEYS
- 24 became involved in?
- 25 A. There was huge drought which actually happened in the

- 1 | countryside in Galgaduud region where, you know, people lost
- 2 their livestock, which is a lifeline for them, so they
- 3 | actually informed us what happened on the ground and how
- 4 people lost the livestock, so we are actually, you know, did
- 5 a fundraising. And ILEYS guys, who were the -- who were
- 6 running on the ground, Farah Yare was the treasureperson for
- 7 | that humanitarian aspect, and, you know --
- 8 Q. Okay. Sure. And what was -- well, you said livestock.
- 9 What's the significance of livestock in an area like
- 10 | Galgaduud?
- 11 A. I mean the -- mainly people rely on livestock -- I mean
- 12 camels and cows and goats -- so the -- and rain season is
- 13 actually, again, is what supplies the life for livestocks, so
- 14 the -- I think four or three rain seasons were fell -- did
- 15 | not happen, so that's the impact of the drought.
- 16 Q. You said there were three or four seasons that went by
- 17 | without any rain?
- 18 **A.** Yeah.
- 19 Q. And livestock requires grazing, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And without water, no grazing?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 Q. Now, how was ILEYS to be financed?
- 24 A. Through diaspora, aided in Kenya, in America, in Europe,
- 25 | in Arabian countries. We collected money and we send it.

- 1 Q. And how was the money sent?
- 2 A. Through a hawala.
- 3 Q. And have you yourself sent money to Somalia through
- 4 | hawala?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And when you contribute -- when the hawala money that you
- 7 send is for a charitable purpose, is there any difference in
- 8 the fee --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- that a hawala will charge you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Can you explain?
- 13 A. If it was a recognized charity which has an account with
- 14 the hawala, normally they don't charge you because the
- 15 charity has account with the hawala. If it's not recognized
- 16 as charity and -- but the promise of charity sending of this
- 17 | money, let's say outreach or hospital or so forth, they will
- 18 minimize the money they charge you, but they still charge you
- 19 **|** fee.
- 20 Q. Okay. And how was it communicated to the diaspora
- 21 | community that this need existed and that the ILEYS
- 22 | Foundation had been formed to try to meet this need to
- 23 Galgaduud in 2007?
- 24 A. There was various forms actually. One is a -- we used to
- 25 call, you know, each other. And the other thing is like we

- 1 used to send emails, exchange emails, on a large, you know,
- 2 scale of e-lists, exchanging documents and so on and so
- 3 Iforth.
- 4 Q. Now, did you get emails from the people in Somalia?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Did you get emails from Farah Yare?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. I'd like to show you what's been marked as Defendants' JJ
- 9 and ask you if you recognize this.
- 10 A. Shall I look at the e-list or the written?
- 11 Q. You can look at the e-list, yes, and the date and --
- 12 A. Yeah, May 2008, Farah Yare.
- 13 Q. Do you recognize it?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And what is it?
- 16 A. The email.
- 17 Q. Did you receive this email?
- 18 A. Yes. My email is right there.
- 19 Q. Okay. In fact, are there two email addresses for you on
- 20 | there?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 (Exhibit No. JJ identified.)
- MR. DRATEL: And I'm moving it in evidence, your
- 24 Honor.
- MR. COLE: Objection; hearsay, it's also only in

Somali.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

THE COURT: Well, at this point -- I know it's an email that was received in '08 and I don't know what it contains or what the purpose of it is. So I'll sustain the objection at this point without prejudice to you laying a foundation.

MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, I'm looking only to admit the email list on the top, not the content of the email.

THE COURT: Well, once again, there's nothing in the record indicating -- this would be an email from anyone --

MR. DRATEL: Oh, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- to this gentleman concerning any subject at any period of time. Well, I think '08 has been identified.

MR. DRATEL: Okay.

BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Is that an email from Farah Yare?

- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And it is -- is it about ILEYS or humanitarian projects in Galgaduud at that time, May of 2008?
- A. I probably need to read it, but it was the -- if you give me a second.
- Q. Yeah. Don't read it out loud, but read it to yourself.
- 25 A. Yeah.

```
Okay.
               And is Mr. Moalin's email also on there?
 1
 2
    Α.
         Yeah.
 3
    Q.
         Okay. So it was sent to him as well?
    Α.
 4
         Yes.
 5
               MR. DRATEL: I move the email header, your Honor,
 6
    with the addresses in?
 7
               THE COURT: Header only?
 8
               MR. DRATEL: Yes, with the addresses.
 9
               THE COURT: And you're going to redact that I
10
     assume.
11
              MR. DRATEL: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: The header is admitted.
13
               MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.
14
          (Exhibit No. JJ admitted.)
15
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Now, did those emails, and even
16
     that email, did they come with attachments? Emails you would
17
    get from Farah Yare about the projects and humanitarian work
18
    going on in Galgaduud, would they come with attachments?
19
    Α.
        Yes.
20
        Were some of those attachments photographs?
21
    Α.
        Yes.
22
       And did you receive those photographs?
23
    Α.
        Yes.
24
       I'm going to show you what's marked as Defendants' KK --
25
    Kilo Kilo -- and ask you if you recognize that.
```

- 1 A. Yes, I do recognize it.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Okay. What is it?
- 3 A. Pictures of Abukar Suryare on the right. Next on the
- 4 | right Dugman Gedago (phonetic), the governor of Guraceel.
- 5 Number 3 from the right -- it's not clear for me; it looks
- 6 | like someone called Bashir Gedago as well. And far end to
- 7 the left, Farah Yare.
- 8 (Exhibit No. KK identified.)
- 9 Q. Okay. And is that a photo that you received in an email
- 10 **∥**from Farah Yare in 2008?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. DRATEL: I move it in evidence, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: KK is admitted.
- 14 (Exhibit No. KK admitted.)
- MR. DRATEL: Put it on the Elmo, publish it.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Okay. So let's go -- starting
- 17 here, this is.
- 18 A. Abukar Suryare.
- 19 Q. This is --
- 20 A. Abdul Rahman Geedow Qorow.
- 21 Q. So that's Abdul Rahman Geedow Qorow?
- 22 A. Geedow Qorow, yeah.
- 23 Q. This person you said -- you think it's someone named
- 24 Bashir?
- 25 A. Bashir Gedago, his brother, but it's not clear for me

- 1 yet.
- 2 | Q. Abdul Rahman's brother?
- 3 **A.** Yeah.
- 4  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And this person here on the far left is --
- 5  $\blacksquare$ A. Is Farah --
- 6 | Q. That's Farah Yare?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you know -- I'm sorry. Put it back
- 9 on there. Do you know the context of this photograph?
- 10 MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation.
- 11 THE WITNESS: The background?
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Yes, the background. What is
- 13 | the background?
- 14 A. They were clearing the roads --
- 15 **Q.** And --
- 16 A. -- to supply the waters and food distribution to the area
- 17 where drought affected.
- 18 Q. Okay. And is that in Galgaduud?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you receive emails from other persons in Galgaduud
- 21 involved in these projects besides Farah Yare?
- 22 A. Abukar Suryare.
- 23 Q. And was Mr. Moalin copied on those emails as well?
- 24 A. Yes, his was in the e-list.
- 25 Q. Did you also receive documents?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. I'm going to show you what is marked -- what's already in
- 3 | evidence as Defendants' E and Defendants' F and ask you if
- 4 you recognize these documents.
- 5 A. Yes, I do recognize these.
- 6 Q. Did you receive them in emails from Galgaduud, either
- 7 | Farah Yare or Abukar Suryare?
- 8 A. Abukar Suryare and Farah Yare, yeah, the ILEYS Foundation
- 9 form.
- 10 Q. And E is -- going to put E-T, which is in evidence, which
- 11 | is a translation, on the Elmo, and that's a summary report
- 12 about the agency's performance and province's state of
- 13 | affairs --
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. -- right? And that's ILEYS. Is the -- on the original
- 16 | Somali version has ILEYS logo?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And it's dated September 29, 2007 --
- 19 **A.** Yeah.
- 20 Q. -- right? Dhusa Mareeb, Galgaduud?
- 21 A. Yes, yeah.
- 22  $\|Q$ . And what was the purpose of a document like this?
- 23 A. To give us some kind of accountability for those who
- 24 | contributing to the cause.
- 25 Q. So show them how their money was being spent --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$ . -- on the project that they were donating?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And if you look at F, F is in English, right? It's in
- 5 English and Somali or is it the English version? It's a
- 6 | shorter document; it's a --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- two-page version. That's what is that, F? I'm sorry.
- 9 F is in evidence. That's an orphan sponsorship form, right?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. And that's something else that came from the ILEYS people
- 12 | in Galgaduud?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. It was sent out to the diaspora --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. -- to solicit people to sponsor orphans in Galgaduud?
- 17 A. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. Show you what's been marked as Defendants' NN, ask you if
- 19 you recognize it. Do you recognize that photo?
- 20 A. The photo is not clear for me.
- 21 Q. Do we have another?
- 22 A. It's two heads collided together.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry?
- 24 A. It's like two heads collided together; it's like two
- 25 different features.

- 1 Q. But you don't recognize the photo?
- 2 A. (Witness shakes head.)
- 3 (Exhibit No. NN identified.)
- 4 Q. Okay. Now I'm going to show you what's already in
- 5 | evidence as Defendants' I. Actually I'm going to put it on
- 6 the Elmo, and that way everybody can see it at the same time.
- 7 Can you see it?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. And you have a monitor right next to you too so you can
- 10 | see it --
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. -- closer if you like. Do you recognize the man in the
- 13 photograph?
- 14 A. Farah Yare.
- 15 Q. That's Farah Yare? Okay.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17  $\|Q\|$ . And is this the type of photograph you would receive?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And how many of these types of photographs did you
- 20 receive?
- 21 A. Quite -- I don't have a number, but we get one, two,
- 22 | three, four.
- 23  $\mathbb{Q}$ . At a time?
- 24 A. At a time, yes.
- 25 Q. Now, did you visit Galgaduud in July 2008?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. And how did you get to Galgaduud?
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A. I landed in Mogadishu, and then I travel by land.
- 4  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And what was the purpose of your visit?
- 5 A. Documenting the fact on the ground, documentary, film
- 6 documentary.
- 7 Q. A film documentary?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. And how long were you in Galgaduud in July of 2008?
- 10 A. About two weeks.
- 11 Q. And did you yourself witness Farah Yare's humanitarian
- 12 work?
- 13 A. Yes, me and Bayell (phonetic) we drive from Guraceel,
- 14 then to the area -- it was like 20 kilometers away, and I
- 15 | filmed abandoned houses and families left with nothing, to
- 16 | females -- I recall woman with a child, another woman who had
- 17 | nothing, and they speak in Somali language to the camera.
- 18 MR. COLE: Objection, relevance.
- 19 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Once
- 20 Magain, just --
- 21 MR. DRATEL: Yeah, we'll keep moving, your Honor.
- 22 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Now, you filmed Farah Yare
- 23 yourself, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Going to show you what's marked -- going to show

```
you a series. We'll go through them in order, and then
 1
 2
    we'll -- if you'd just look at these three images.
 3
               THE COURT: What are they marked?
 4
               MR. DRATEL: Oh, I'm sorry. UU, VV, and WW, your
 5
    Honor.
 6
               THE WITNESS: Yes, I recognize it.
 7
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. And what are they?
 8
        Farah Yare talking through the camera showing the
    evidence of his logbook, how many funds he receive and where
 9
    he receive it from and when he receive.
10
11
        And these are screen shots from your documentary --
    Q.
12
    Α.
        Yes.
13
        -- that you filmed yourself --
    Q.
14
    Α.
        Yes.
15
    Q.
        -- in Galgaduud in --
16
    Α.
        In July 2008, in Guraceel.
17
               THE COURT: You're speaking over one another.
18
    would you please allow counsel to finish his question before
19
    you begin your answer, and that way everything can be taken
20
     down accurately.
21
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
22
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
23
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. You -- those are screen shots
24
    from your documentary --
25
    Α.
        Yes.
```

```
1
        -- of Farah Yare?
    Q.
 2
    Α.
        Yes.
 3
    Q.
        In Guraceel?
 4
    Α.
        Yes.
 5
        July 2008?
    Q.
 6
    Α.
        Yes.
 7
         (Exhibit Nos. UU, VV, WW identified.)
 8
               MR. DRATEL: I move them in evidence, your Honor.
 9
              MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor. Can I look at
10
    them again, your Honor?
11
               THE COURT: Certainly.
12
               MR. COLE: No objection, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: UU, VV, and WW are admitted at this
14
     time.
15
               MR. DRATEL: Thank you.
16
          (Exhibit Nos. UU, VV, WW admitted.)
17
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Mr. Guled, is that one of those
18
    screen shots, this Defendants' UU?
    A. Yes.
19
20
    Q. And is that -- that's Farah Yare?
21
    Α.
        Yes.
22
       And he's got a book that he's pointing to?
23
    Α.
        Yes.
24
    Q.
       And I'll show VV. Is that a page from the book --
25
    Α.
        Yes.
```

- 1 Q. -- he's showing you? Is that a closeup?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And says Warshubo, right? You see the top right there?
- 4 A. Warshubo.
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And where is that?
- 6 A. Warshubo.
- 7 Q. And underneath it says Dhusa Mareeb?
- 8 A. Dhusa Mareeb, yes.
- 9 Q. Guraceel?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Matabaan?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And then there are monetary amounts next to that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Hold on, counsel. Ms. Freni, are you
- 16 okay?
- JUROR FRENI: I'm okay.
- THE COURT: You want a minute or so?
- JUROR FRENI: I'm okay. Thank you.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. And same here, Dhusa Mareeb,
- 21 ||Guraceel, Matabaan, right?
- 22 A. Yeah, yes.
- 23 Q. And then something underneath that as well, right,
- 24 | Qarash?
- 25 A. Qarash.

- 1 Q. And what does this book represent?
- 2 A. Evidence of the money being sent to ILEYS and reaching
- 3  $\parallel$  and how they use.
- 4 ■Q. When you were there in July of -- withdrawn. I want to
- 5 go back for a minute to the emails that you got from Farah
- 6 Yare and others over time, 2007, 2008 with respect to the
- 7 work of the ILEYS does. I just ask you if you recognize any
- 8 photographs here.
- 9 A. Yes, I recognize.
- 10 Q. Are they photographs that you received from either Farah
- 11 | Yare or Abukar Suryare --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- with respect to the work of ILEYS?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. DRATEL: Sorry. I didn't identify them. My
- 16 apologies, your Honor. I just want to read them into the
- 17 | record. This is Defendants' QQ, RR, and PP.
- 18 THE COURT: In evidence?
- 19 MR. DRATEL: No, I'm moving them in evidence, your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Have you seen them, Mister --
- 22 MR. COLE: No, your Honor.
- MR. DRATEL: Oh, I'm sorry. Some of them are --
- MR. COLE: Just objection on relevance, your Honor.
- 25 MR. DRATEL: These are from --

```
THE COURT: May I see them, please?
 1
 2
              MR. DRATEL: Yes. Sorry. I have one more
 3
     foundation question, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
              BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Mr. Guled, are these -- reflect
 6
    work by ILEYS in Galgaduud in 2007 and 2008?
 7
    Α.
        Yeah.
 8
               THE COURT: Is there an objection, Mr. Cole?
 9
              MR. COLE: I'm sorry. No, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right. QQ, RR, and PP?
11
              MR. DRATEL: Q, R, and PP, your Honor, yes.
               THE COURT: Okay. They're admitted.
12
13
          (Exhibit QQ previously identified.)
14
          (Exhibit QQ admitted.)
          (Exhibit Nos. PP, RR identified and admitted.)
15
16
              MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.
17
              BY MR. DRATEL: Q. And so -- and so can you tell
18
    us what that is -- what that depicts; that's --
19
    Α.
       Road clearing.
20
    Q. And this is QQ. That was PP -- I'm sorry, that was RR.
21
    This is QQ, and this is a sign in Somali?
22
    A. Yeah.
23
    Q.
       But what does it represent?
24
    Α.
       Water distribution in Guraceel.
25
       And is that a project -- who's involved in the project?
```

- 1 Are there other organizations involved in the project?
- 2 A. ILEYS.
- 3 Q. And any countries outside of Somalia?
- 4 A. Not that I know.
- 5 Q. Can you read the bottom left? Can you read that?
- 6 A. Yes, it says Denmark.
- 7 Q. Denmark. Okay. And does it mention the diaspora in
- 8 | this?
- 9 A. Yeah, it says the union of youth from no tee tallo
- 10 (phonetic) the effort they contributed from Denmark in
- 11 Aalborg. I don't know what that means. It says the effort
- 12 of the union of the youth from Denmark to Somalia.
- 13 Q. And is this a photo of part of the same project? Is that
- 14 the same sign, if you can tell? Maybe I'll show you the
- 15 photo itself and you can tell us. It's hard to read on the
- 16 Elmo.
- 17 A. It's not clear for me. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 A. It's not clear.
- 20 Q. But that's another ILEYS --
- 21 A. That's the photos I received.
- 22 Q. Okay. Did you get an opportunity to witness ILEYS
- 23 projects when you were there in Guraceel -- I mean in
- 24 | Galgaduud?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So what kind of projects did you witness?
- 2 A. I witnessed the schools, I filmed, documented the
- 3 schools, and I documented IDP camps, and I witnessed the
- 4 humanitarian, where the supplies, some kind of food to the
- 5 | outside at Guraceel district.
- 6 Q. And how many schools?
- 7 MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor; this is getting
- 8 | cumulative, relevance.
- 9 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Talking 2007- in Galgaduud,
- 11 | right? Okay. And with respect to humanitarian, what kind
- 12 of -- you mentioned food but also water?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. COLE: Same objection, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Well, it is becoming a bit cumulative
- 16 at this point, Mr. Dratel.
- 17 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Now, when you were there in
- 18 July 2008, did you document anything about Ethiopian troops
- 19 or the Ethiopian army?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Tell us about that.
- 22 A. I filmed three to four long trench, military trench in
- 23 Guraceel district, in the town itself. I documented victims
- 24 who were -- lost member of their family in that conflict by
- 25 the Ethiopians.

- 1 Q. And were you aware of any activity by al-Shabaab in the
- 2 | Galgaduud area during that period of time?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And was al-Shabaab powerful in the area at that time?
- A. They were very threatful, but they were not powerful I
- 6 was told at the time.
- 7 Q. And what kind of threat did they present to Galgaduud?
- 8 MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation.
- 9 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. When you were there --
- 10 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. In July 2008 when you were
- 12 there, what kind of threat did al-Shabaab present to the
- 13 | local administration in Guraceel?
- 14 MR. COLE: Same objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Yeah, it's the same question. There's
- 16 a lack of foundation. The witness indicated he was told --
- 17 MR. DRATEL: Okay.
- 18 THE COURT: -- in his previous answer about the
- 19 I threat activity. The objection is sustained.
- 20 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Did the local administrative
- 21 | council take action with respect to defending itself against
- 22 | al-Shabaab during the period you were there?
- 23 A. They organized themselves to defend themselves.
- 24 Q. Did they have a police force?
- 25 A. They had police personnel in district.

- 1 Q. Did they have uniforms?
  - A. They had a uniform, yes.

disregard it at this time.

- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now, did there come a time when al-Shabaab attacked the
- 4 | local administration in Galgaduud?
- 5 A. Yes.

frame.

2

7

10

13

22

23

24

- 6 MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation. Time
- THE COURT: The objection is sustained; the answer is stricken, ladies and gentlemen; you are admonished to
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. In later 2008 did there come a time when al-Shabaab attacked the local administration in
- 14 A. Yes.

Guraceel?

- THE COURT: That's the same -- that's the same 16 question.
- 17 THE WITNESS: -- they did --
- THE COURT: Hold off. If the objection's coming,

  why don't you begin to at least stand so the witness doesn't

  jump the gun with an answer, Mr. Cole. It's the same

  question. The objection is sustained. Lack of foundation.
  - BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Did you assist, personally assist -- withdrawn. Did you speak to Abukar Suryare when he was in Hargeysa later in 2008?
- MR. COLE: Objection, relevance. And we need more

- 1 of a time frame I think.
- 2 | THE COURT: I don't know if it's relevant or not.
- 3 The question is just whether they spoke, so you may answer
- 4 that, sir. The objection is overruled.
- 5 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Did you speak to Farah Yare
- 6 when he was in Hargeysa later on in 2008?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, did you also see Abukar Suryare in Djibouti --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. -- later -- in August of 2008?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And why were you in Djibouti?
- 13 A. I was documenting the peace process between TFG and the
- 14 | alliance of -- Asmara Alliance for Liberation.
- 15  $\|Q$ . And was Abukar Survare there for the same reason?
- 16 A. He was in Djibouti on part of a reconciliation.
- 17  $\|Q$ . So he was part of a delegation for which group?
- 18 A. The UIC side. It's the Asmara -- Asmara Reliberation of
- 19 | Somalia group and the Somali government, so it was two sides.
- 20 He was not with the government; he was on the other side.
- 21 Q. Right. And the UIC was one of the elements of this
- 22 reliberation group?
- 23 **|** A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. And the purpose was to try to reach some reconciliation
- 25 between the sides for the future of Somalia?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And ultimately did those negotiations prove successful?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And did that result in a change in the government in
- 5 | Somalia?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that's when Sheik Sharif became president of the TFG?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that also coincided with the departure of Ethiopian
- 10 troops --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- from Somalia? Was that part of the conference, the
- 13 | negotiation?
- 14 A. It was part of negotiation, yes.
- 15 Q. Now, later on did you personally assist Abukar Suryare
- 16 and Farah Yare from leaving Somalia when their lives were
- 17 | threatened?
- 18 MR. COLE: Objection, relevance.
- 19 THE COURT: Well --
- 20 MR. DRATEL: I'll make it more -- by al-Shabaab?
- 21 THE COURT: Well, the objection is sustained on the
- 22 basis of foundation at this point, relevance. We don't have
- 23 a time frame. Would you get --
- 24 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Well, what's the time frame?
- 25 THE COURT: Well, you pose the question.

MR. DRATEL: I'm sorry. 1 2 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Was there a time when Abukar Survare and Farah Yare had to leave Somalia because of 3 4 threats by al-Shabaab? 5 Yes. Abukar Suryare was working for the government, but 6 Farah Yare and others, they were -- they have to leave from 7 Somalia for the first time. 8 And what time frame was that? 9 A. That was 2009. 10 MR. COLE: Objection, relevance. There was no 11 foundation for that. THE COURT: The objection is sustained; the answer 12 13 is stricken, ladies and gentlemen; you're admonished to 14 disregard it. 15 MR. DRATEL: Well, if I may, your Honor, just --16 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. If I may take it back for 17 relevance, which is was that related to their work in 18 Galgaduud in 2008 and 2007? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation. 21 THE COURT: The objection is sustained; the answer 22 is stricken; you are admonished to disregard it, ladies and 23 gentlemen. 24 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Now, Basaaly Moalin, when you

came to San Diego, did you meet Mr. Moalin?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Why?
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A. Because I was -- I knew his name, and I was -- I was very
- 4 leager to meet him.
- 5 Q. You knew his name as a contributor to ILEYS?
- 6 A. Yes, he was -- when I was in Guraceel in 2008, I was
- 7 showing what his did -- what he did for the local people.
- 8 was shown a house, and I was told --
- 9 MR. COLE: Objection, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: It's going to be very important for you
- 11 during this part of the questioning to listen very carefully
- 12 to the question and answer just the question that's posed.
- 13 The question was did you know him as a contributor to ILEYS.
- 14 That was the question.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Was he a major contributor to
- 17 ILEYS?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation.
- 20 THE COURT: The objection is sustained; the answer
- 21 | is stricken; you're admonished to disregard it, ladies and
- 22 gentlemen.
- BY MR. DRATEL: Q. And did you meet with him when
- 24 you came to San Diego?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
MR. COLE: Your Honor, if we could get a time frame
 1
 2
     of when that was.
 3
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. When was that meeting? When
 4
    did you come to San Diego?
 5
        When did I came?
    Α.
 6
    Q.
        Yes.
        That was 2009.
 7
    Α.
 8
               MR. COLE: Objection, irrelevant.
               MR. DRATEL: Well, your Honor --
 9
10
               THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
11
               MR. DRATEL: Thank you.
12
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. So you met with Mr. Moalin here
13
    in San Diego?
14
    Α.
        Yes.
15
    Q. And Mr. Moalin was someone who was on all of these
    emails, receiving all these materials; he was on email lists.
16
17
    Of all the materials and photographs we put in, he's on those
18
    same emails as you were?
19
    A. Yes.
20
    Q. Just one second.
21
               MR. DRATEL: I have nothing further.
22
               THE COURT: Cross-examination?
23
               MR. COLE: Yes. Thank you, your Honor. Just one
24
    moment, your Honor, while I look through the defense
25
     exhibits.
```

- 1 THE COURT: Sure.
- 2 <u>Cross-Examination</u>
- BY MR. COLE: Q. Good morning, sir.
- 4 A. Good morning, sir.
- 5 Q. You've testified today quite a bit about ILEYS, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you agree, schools are good?
- 8 A. Sorry?
- 9 Q. Schools are a good thing?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. It's good to support education?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And it's good to help people who are struggling?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And terrorism is bad?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. Al-Shabaab's bad?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when you joined the government of Somalia, that was
- 20 | in 2009?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And that was when Sheik Sharif had become the leader,
- 23 ||right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So that was after Abdullahi Yusuf was no longer the

- 1 president of Somalia?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And you are from the Guraceel area yourself?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And so you're Ayr subclan?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that's part of the Habar Gidir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hawiye?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And Sheik Sharif, that's his clan, Hawiye?
- 12 A. He's Hawiye, yes.
- 13 Q. And he's -- so when your clan assumed the presidency,
- 14 Sheik Sharif, you worked for the government?
- 15 A. I work for the government.
- 16 Q. Right. And you were asked a lot of questions about
- 17 someone named Farah Yare, right?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. ILEYS I take it was involved with humanitarian projects
- 20 | only, right?
- 21 A. Sorry?
- 22 Q. ILEYS was involved with humanitarian projects?
- 23 A. Education and humanitarian and administration, local
- 24 | administration.
- 25 Q. Right, but it wasn't involved in attacking people, was

- 1 ||it?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And it wasn't involved in fighting?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And it wasn't involved in purchasing RPGs, right?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. And so I'd like to show you -- well, let me ask you this:
- 8 Your involvement with Farah Yare then was with respect to his
- 9 | connection to ILEYS, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And if he was connected with things apart from ILEYS,
- 12 other activities of his own, you were not involved in those,
- 13 || right?
- 14 A. I didn't know anything about it.
- 15 Q. So, for example, did Farah Yare ever tell you -- actually
- 16 like to hand up Government's Exhibits 120 through 199, and,
- 17 ||sir, you'll notice in this binder that these exhibits are
- 18 tabbed by number. And if you could go to Exhibit 182.
- 19 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
- 20 this form of questioning. This witness is not familiar with
- 21 these.
- 22 THE COURT: Well, the objection may be a little
- 23 premature. Let's see how it develops, counsel.
- BY MR. COLE: Q. Did you find Exhibit 182, sir?
- 25 A. 182?

- 1 Q. Yes. And if you'd go to page 5 of that exhibit.
  - A. Which page?

- 3  $\|Q$ . Page 5. And if you look on the left-hand column, sir,
- 4 there is some time-stamping on the left-hand column, which
- 5 | will help me focus you on a certain portion. If you look
- 6 at -- we're at 7:24 on the left-hand column. My question for
- 7 you, sir, is did Farah Yare ever tell you --
- 8 MR. DRATEL: Objection, your Honor. Just in terms
- 9 of -- you know, if the jury is following this, it's in
- 10 | evidence, it's not proper just to go through the tapes with
- 11 this witness.
- 12 THE COURT: Well, it provides context. The witness
- 13 | is not adopting this. It provides context for the question
- 14 | and --
- 15 MR. DRATEL: Okay.
- 16 THE COURT: -- it makes it easier for the trier of
- 17  $\parallel$  fact at this point. The objection is overruled. Mr. Cole,
- 18 you may phrase your question.
- 19 BY MR. COLE: Q. Yes. The question is, sir, did
- 20 | Farah Yare ever tell you that the fighting lasted about 40
- 21 minutes and we fired more than ten rounds from the weapon
- 22 | known as 7?
- 23 **A.** No.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And if you look down at the very bottom of this page at
- 25 9:27, did Farah Yare ever tell you, this was the first time

- 1 | in one year of fighting that we attacked them from behind
- 2 while they were in retreat. They were used to being attacked
- 3 while in their camps and ambushed on the road, but they never
- 4 experienced an attack from the rear. The military uses
- 5 mambush, but it's not common to attack a retreating enemy.
- 6 | This was a new tactic in our fighting. Did he ever tell you
- 7 | that?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And if I could have you look at -- a little bit down on
- 10 | that page, at 10:10 -- or, excuse me, 10:07 -- did Farah Yare
- 11 | ever tell you, We caught up with them and we truly destroyed
- 12 them. The young guys who were here with us also hit them
- 13 I from the other side, and we trusted them to hold their side.
- 14 We were more than the young guys, and we covered most of the
- 15 | lines. The young guys fought for three minutes and left.
- 16 Did he ever tell you that?
- 17 **|** A. No.
- 18 Q. And if I could show you defense exhibit -- well, let me
- 19 just ask you this: Did Farah Yare ever tell you that we
- 20 destroyed a bakery store who tried to sell bread to them, the
- 21 | Ethiopians?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. And ILEYS had nothing to do with destroying bakeries,
- 24 || right?
- 25 A. That's right, they don't.

MR. COLE: Nothing further, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Anything further? 3 MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor. 4 Redirect Examination 5 BY MR. DRATEL: Q. You were just asked on 6 cross-examination about Farah Yare and whether he told you 7 certain things, right? Whether Farah Yare had told you 8 certain things or not, right? Now, when you were in Galgaduud in July of 2008, 9 the Ethiopians -- had there been -- withdrawn. Had there 10 11 been fighting between the local forces in Guraceel and Galgaduud and the Ethiopians just before you got there? 12 13 Local residents and the Ethiopians. 14 And they told you about that, right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And you witnessed certain effects of the Ethiopian 17 conflict, right? You were there, you saw it, right? You 18 talked to victims, right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Talked to people whose families, civilians, had been 21 killed by Ethiopians, right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And you know that the people in Guraceel defended 24 themselves against the Ethiopians, right? 25 MR. COLE: Objection; calls for hearsay, lack of

```
foundation.
 1
 2
               MR. DRATEL: He opened the door, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: You know, I was waiting for the
 4
     objection on the first question, which basically was the same
 5
    question, what he had been informed of, and then two or three
 6
     questions came in. And so the objection is overruled, but
 7
     that doesn't open the door for protracted examination on --
 8
               MR. DRATEL: No, I'm -- this is very tight, your
 9
    Honor.
               BY MR. DRATEL: O. So the residents of Guraceel
10
11
     defended themselves against the Ethiopians, right, and every
12
     able-bodied man was involved in that, right?
13
        Should be.
    Α.
14
               MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation.
15
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained; the answer
16
     is stricken; the jury admonished to disregard it.
17
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. To your knowledge was --
18
               THE COURT: That's the --
19
               MR. COLE: Same question.
20
               THE COURT: -- same question. Without laying a
21
     foundation, it's the same question.
22
               MR. COLE: Same objection.
23
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Does everybody in Somalia, in
     Guraceel, carry a weapon?
24
25
               MR. COLE: Objection; same objection.
```

```
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
 1
 2
               MR. DRATEL: I'm talking about his personal
 3
     knowledge, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Well, ask it -- then the way to ask
 5
     that is to ask whether or not he personally observed --
 6
    personally observed -- every individual carrying a weapon.
 7
               MR. DRATEL: I'll rephrase it.
 8
               THE COURT: And there was no reference as to time.
 9
    I assume it's when he returned to Guraceel.
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Talking about during the time
10
11
    period of July of 2008, was it common for men in Guraceel,
12
     able-bodied men, to carry weapons?
13
        Many people carry weapon.
14
    Q. And for self-defense purposes?
15
               MR. COLE: Objection; lack of foundation.
16
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. It's the same.
                                                   To your
17
    personal knowledge.
18
    Α.
        Yes.
19
        Now, you were asked about Farah Yare and ILEYS and what
20
     ILEYS was involved in; you were asked on cross-examination.
21
    Ask you with respect to Farah Yare or anyone else, is it
22
    possible for someone to be for ILEYS and al-Shabaab at the
23
    same time?
24
               MR. COLE: Objection; calls for speculation.
25
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
```

```
1
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Have you ever met anyone --
 2
    Α.
        No.
 3
        -- who's for -- let me finish the question. Have you
 4
    ever met anyone who was for ILEYS and al-Shabaab at the same
 5
     time?
 6
              MR. COLE: Objection; calls for speculation.
 7
               THE WITNESS: No.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Now, sir, I've asked you to
 9
    hold off on answering a question, and so I'll ask you in a
     different way. After the question is completed, just wait a
10
     couple of seconds, okay, to see if Mr. Cole's going to
11
     object. That gives me an opportunity to rule on the
12
13
     objection before you answer.
14
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
16
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Have you?
17
               THE COURT:
                           The objection is sustained; the answer
18
    is stricken; the jury is admonished to disregard the answer.
19
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Now, this is to your personal
20
     knowledge; have you ever met anyone who was for both ILEYS
21
    and al-Shabaab?
22
               MR. COLE: Same objection; would call for
23
    speculation.
24
               THE COURT: Well, there's a lack of foundation
25
    here. If you can establish the foundation for that, then you
```

```
may proceed.
 1
 2
               BY MR. DRATEL: Q. Okay. During this period
 3
    2007-2008 with respect to something going on in Guraceel and
 4
    Galgaduud, did you ever meet anyone in your experience, from
 5
    your personal knowledge, who was for ILEYS and al-Shabaab at
 6
    the same time?
 7
    Α.
        No.
 8
               MR. DRATEL: Nothing further, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Mr. Cole?
 9
10
                          Recross-Examination
11
               BY MR. COLE: Q. His question was about 2007-2008,
     whether you met somebody like that, right? Is that a yes?
12
13
    You met Mr. Moalin in 2009, didn't you?
14
               MR. DRATEL: Objection, your Honor.
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
               MR. DRATEL: We've limited it to the 2008-2009 --
16
17
     2007-2008 time frame. I would --
18
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
19
               BY MR. COLE: Q. Well, sir, you know Mr. Moalin,
20
    don't you?
21
        I do.
    Α.
22
     Q. Then you have met somebody who supported al-Shabaab and
23
    ILEYS, haven't you?
24
               MR. DRATEL: Objection, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained. That's
```

```
argumentative, it's improper. The jury is admonished to
 1
 2
     disregard any implication in the question.
 3
               MR. COLE: Nothing further, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay, sir. Thank you for coming in.
 5
    You are excused. Okay. Next we have --
 6
               MR. DRATEL: A deposition, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we take --
               MR. DRATEL: One second, your Honor. You want to
 8
 9
     take a break now? That's not a bad idea.
10
               THE COURT: Well, I know we have a couple of
     transcripts left, and if -- Mr. Durkin and Ms. Roberts, I
11
12
     think we have two of your transcripts, and are they
13
    relatively short, aren't they?
14
               MS. ROBERTS: They're short, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you proceed with
16
     those, and then after those transcripts, we'll take our
17
    break.
18
              MR. DURKIN: That's fine.
               MS. ROBERTS: This is defendant Ahmed Nasir Taalil
19
20
    Mohamud Exhibit Number AN-186-T, which corresponds to
21
     Government Exhibit Transcript number 186, beginning with a
22
    portion that was not included in the exhibit previously
23
    admitted.
24
               MR. DURKIN: Judge, could I have a second?
25
               THE COURT: Certainly. This is AN-186-T.
```

```
MR. DURKIN: Thank you.
 1
 2
          (The transcription was read.)
 3
               MS. ROBERTS: And then it goes on to the part that
 4
    had been previously admitted. And the last transcript was
 5
    AN-2-T. It is a call that had not previously been admitted
 6
    into evidence. It is dated July 29, 2008. It is between a
    person Abdirizak, last name unknown, and Basaaly Moalin.
 7
 8
          (The transcription was read.)
 9
               MS. ROBERTS: And, Judge, there were two defense
10
     exhibits entered by stipulation. One is Defendants'
11
    AN-53-S1, which is one page from a spreadsheet that was
    prepared off of the Government's Exhibit 53, transfers from
12
13
    Mr. Moalin made during the period that is on Government's
14
    Exhibit 53. There's a single page which we admitted as
15
    AN-53-S1 that includes and entry on July 30, 2008 to Abdi --
16
    Mohamed Abdi Qorey for the thousand dollars.
17
               MR. DURKIN: Can we publish that now?
18
               THE COURT: Yes. I thought -S1 and -S2, that is,
19
    AN-53-S1 and -2 were previously --
20
              MS. ROBERTS: They were, Judge.
21
               THE COURT: -- admitted.
22
               MS. ROBERTS: We just wanted to publish them to the
23
     jury.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you.
25
               MR. DURKIN: The reference that she makes is four
```

```
lines down.
 1
 2
               MS. ROBERTS: And finally, AN-53-S2, also a
 3
    spreadsheet from the Government's Exhibit 53, the Shidaal
 4
    records, which is a three-page spreadsheet of all transfers
 5
     done by Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud during the period in
 6
    question.
 7
                           Thank you. That does it?
               THE COURT:
 8
               MR. DURKIN: And they have that in their notebooks,
 9
     don't --
10
               THE COURT:
                          They, yes do.
11
               MR. DURKIN: Then there's no need for us to publish
12
     it.
13
               THE COURT: I don't think it's necessary. Okay.
14
     think that does it for all the transcript reading, does it
15
    not?
16
               MR. DURKIN: I think so.
17
               THE COURT: Mr. Cole, you don't have any further
18
     transcripts. I think we're basically done with transcripts
    in the case, ladies and gentlemen. You're obviously going to
19
20
    keep all of those notebooks; you've got three different
21
    notebooks regarding transcripts, so they're yours. And we
22
    will take our midmorning recess at this time and resume in 15
23
    minutes. Please remember the admonition. Thank you.
24
          (The jury left the courtroom.)
25
               THE COURT: We're outside the presence of all
```

jurors. How did it go with jury instructions last night, counsel?

MR. COLE: We made a lot of progress. We're done going through it. We're going to -- I think we've narrowed it down to very few disputes, and we are going to send them a revised version based on all the comments last night, and they'll give us some final feedback, and we'll get it to your Honor -- I guess we're supposed to see you about that tomorrow morning. Should we try to get that to you this afternoon, later this afternoon?

THE COURT: Well, as soon as you can. As you probably know, it's my custom and practice to do my own jury instructions. I have a certain font that I like to use.

It's easy for jurors I think; it's a large-size print. And I'm -- we already have many -- all of the general instructions, obviously, prepared. The substantive instructions that are to be given could be converted from whatever you have, or you could contact my assistant and just make it a compatible with what she has and provide her a disk. That might -- I don't know if that's going to be workable; that's one possible suggestion. Or it may just take old fashioned copying and --

MR. COLE: We have ours in electronic version, and so we'll send whatever -- whatever we're submitting. And to the extent we're disagreeing, I'm sure both sides can give

1 the electronic version to your Honor.

THE COURT: How many substantive disagreements are there? How many --

MR. COLE: Let me count them.

MR. DRATEL: Probably -- my just from memory is probably about six or eight, and some of them are merely a sentence, you know, some of them -- there are only a couple of structural ones, and by structural I mean sort of how that instruction is given and what time in the -- and I don't know because the government was going to look back and we were going to look back at some of the competing suggestions that we had as to whether certain things were acceptable. So when we get the government's version, we'll be able to identify those that involve -- there are no wholesale disagreements, your Honor; that I can tell you.

THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll need to carve out some time tomorrow when we can work on this together. I would assume you're still on track for finishing today.

MR. DRATEL: Yes.

THE COURT: And so we can use tomorrow for that time available tomorrow.

MR. DRATEL: Right.

THE COURT: Your arguments, do you think they'll be completed in a day or do you think you'll need more than a day for arguments?

MR. DRATEL: I think -- given our discussion I 1 2 think a day, we can do it -- you know, they may --3 THE COURT: About a day. 4 MR. DRATEL: You know, well -- and this --5 obviously we'll work together to the extent we can obviously 6 to schedule and get a time frame that works. I spoke with 7 Mr. Cole. You know, his projected -- he's not obviously held 8 to it -- but I have sort of a sense of where's he's going to be and I have a sense where I am in talking to counsel. It's 9 10 our strong preference in terms of fairness that we do all the 11 summations, including a rebuttal, in one day rather than have 12 the prosecutor's rebuttal the following morning. And so even 13 if we go over 15 minutes or something like that, I hope the 14 Court would be -- would indulge us with that with respect to that. Also, does the Court charge before or after argument? 15 16 THE COURT: I give the instructions before except the concluding instructions. Obviously the instructions have 17 18 been given, you can refer to them; I think it puts everything in context, gives me a chance to come back and correct 19 20 anything I need to correct that might have been a 21 misinterpretation. 22 MR. DRATEL: Perhaps if the Court can -- maybe 23

Thursday, tomorrow, we can get a sense of how much is going to be before so we can sort of build in -- so we can get all that done in a day.

24

THE COURT: How much of what now? 1 2 MR. DRATEL: In other words, I'm just trying to 3 scope out in my mind the length of summations so we get it 4 all done in one day. THE COURT: Yeah. Well, if you're asking how long 5 6 it will take me to instruct, it takes me -- on a case like 7 this, it'll probably be something on the order of 30 minutes, 30 to 35 minutes --8 9 MR. DRATEL: Great. THE COURT: -- of instructions. And so, you know, 10 11 if we need to create a little extra time -- and I assume this 12 is going to be happening next Tuesday, the 19th -- so if we 13 need to create a little extra time, we'll do that. Keep in 14 mind, you know, the saturation point of the jury, and --15 MR. COLE: I think we've reached it. 16 THE COURT: Well, no, no. That may be true in 17 terms of the evidence, yeah. I would tend to degree with 18 that. 19 MR. DRATEL: I agree. 20 THE COURT: But in terms of the argument, I don't want to impose too much. We may be able to go a little bit 21 22 beyond normal closing, but I wouldn't want to extend into the 23 evening, for example --24 MR. DRATEL: No.

THE COURT: -- just so that everything is finished

```
in one day.
 1
 2
               MR. DRATEL: Yes, I'm -- we're cognizant of that.
 3
     I agree with the Court with respect to saturation points.
 4
    And it's always been my practice to be as concise as
 5
    possible.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Well, then after all the
 7
    evidence is completed, we'll -- you need another session
 8
    obviously to --
 9
               MR. DRATEL: Yes.
               THE COURT: -- take a look what's been submitted,
10
11
    Mr. Dratel; you need another session there. Then I'll be
12
    happy to meet with you at a convenient time tomorrow where we
13
     can go over this and get things finalized.
14
               MR. DRATEL: Great.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. All right.
16
          (There was a break in the proceedings.)
17
               THE COURT: All right. We have everyone present.
18
    And we're ready to proceed with our next videotaped
    deposition.
19
20
               MS. FONTIER: Yes, your Honor. Your Honor, the
21
    next witness for the defense will be Hassan Guled, Hassan is
22
    H-a-s-s-a-n, Guled, G-u-l-e-d. Mr. Guled was deposed during
23
    the week of November 11 of 2012 in Djibouti.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I
```

understand this one is a bit lengthy. I believe we've had

```
one other that was pretty lengthy, Mr. Mohamed's, and so we
 1
     won't finish this before we break for our noon recess, and
 2
 3
    we'll continue it after lunch. Okay.
 4
               MS. FONTIER: Thank you, your Honor.
 5
          (The video recording was played.)
 6
               MS. FONTIER: Sorry, your Honor, one moment.
 7
    need just need to switch to the next session.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay.
          (The video recording was played.)
 9
               THE COURT: I think this is a convenient time for
10
11
     our noon recess, ladies and gentlemen. We'll resume at 1:30.
     Remember the admonition not to discuss the case or make any
12
13
     decisions at this time. Thank you.
14
          (There was a break in the proceedings.)
15
               THE COURT: Okay. We have everyone present once
16
     again, and we are ready to proceed with the Hassan Guled
17
     deposition.
18
               MS. FONTIER: Yes, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right.
20
          (The video recording was played.)
21
               MS. FONTIER: One moment, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Okay.
23
               MS. FONTIER: Sorry, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT:
                           That's okay.
25
          (The video recording was played.)
```

```
MS. FONTIER: I'm going to -- Ms. Han knows how
 1
 2
     this is formatted. I'm going to cede my seat to the --
 3
               MS. HAN: Your Honor, I apologize for this first
 4
     clip. We have clips separately for the other tapes, and we
 5
     should be able to play them all in a row.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay.
 7
          (The video recording was played.)
 8
               THE COURT: Is there redirect, Ms. Han?
 9
               MS. HAN: There is.
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll take our midafternoon
10
11
    recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen, and resume at
     three o'clock. Please remember the admonition. Thank you.
12
13
          (The jury left the courtroom.)
14
               THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of
15
     jurors. Counsel, how does it look for the rest of the
16
     afternoon? Is this going to be it?
17
               MR. DRATEL: Yes. Well, we have a stipulation to
18
    read, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. About how long do we have of
20
     redirect on this?
21
               MR. DRATEL: I would guess it's less than 15
22
    minutes.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MS. FONTIER: I would say less.
25
              MR. DRATEL: Yeah, I think it's less.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. And then a stipulation or two to
 1
 2
     read?
 3
               MR. DRATEL: I think just one.
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Cole, anything further from the
 5
    government?
 6
               MR. COLE: We're going to kibbitz during this
 7
    recess right now about that, and we'll let you know.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. I still need to advise the jury
 9
    that one of the transcripts -- I think it was 134 -- comes in
10
     for limited purposes as you will recall -- I think it's
11
     134 -- and that's with respect to Mr. Moalin only. Yes, 134.
     That's it. Okay. Then we'll resume at three o'clock.
12
13
               MS. FONTIER: Thank you, your Honor.
14
          (There was a break in the proceedings.)
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Everyone is present. And we'll
16
     continue on then with this last bit of videotaped deposition
17
     testimony.
18
          (The video recording was played.)
19
               THE COURT: That concludes the testimony?
20
              MS. HAN: Yes, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any further
22
    witnesses or evidence, Mr. Dratel?
23
               MR. DRATEL: No, no further witnesses, your Honor,
24
    but we do have a stipulation.
25
               THE COURT: Are you going to read it?
```

1 MR. DRATEL: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Is it a stipulation as to testimony or fact? 3 4 MR. DRATEL: Facts. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, ladies and gentlemen, 6 you're about ready to hear a stipulation as to fact. You've 7 heard stipulations as to testimony before. You may recall 8 that the parties had earlier stipulated or agreed that if certain witnesses were called, they would have testified as 9 to certain things; that's a stipulation as to testimony. 10 11 This is a stipulation or agreement as to fact, and so the facts contained within this stipulation or agreement are to 12 13 be considered by you as proven in the case. 14 MR. DRATEL: Does the Court wish to mark it as any 15 particular type of --16 THE COURT: If you read slowly, I don't think we'll 17 need to mark it, but we'll see. Why don't you proceed at this time. 18 19 MR. DRATEL: I suppose I can. The parties 20 stipulate and agree that in early to mid 2008, one, money 21 collected for the Ayr subclan was given to individuals, 22 including Abukar Suryare, AKA Abukar Mohamed, and Farah Yare, 23 who were associated with the ILEYS charity; two, money

collected by men in Guraceel on behalf of the Ayr subclan was

given to a group that was not al-Shabaab; three, there was a

24

dispute between al-Shabaab, the Ayr clan, and ILEYS over the administration of the Galgaduud region; four, members of the ILEYS charity and the Ayr subclan, including Abukar Suryare, were opposed to al-Shabaab and were Ayrow's enemies. Your Honor, may I provide to this the Court?

THE COURT: You can. It will be accepted as a court exhibit at this time, but what -- actually would you read it once again and more slowly than you did the first time; just pause between the different points.

MR. DRATEL: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. The parties stipulate and agree that in early to mid 2008, one, money collected for the Ayr subclan was given to individuals, including Abukar Suryare -- that's A-b-u-k-a-r S-u-r-y-a-r-e -- AKA Abukar Mohamed, and Farah Yare, who were associated with the ILEYS charity; two, money collected by men in Guraceel on behalf of the Ayr subclan was given to a group that was not al-Shabaab; three, there was a dispute between al-Shabaab, the Ayr clan, and ILEYS over the administration of the Galgaduud region; four, members of the ILEYS charity and the Ayr subclan, including Abukar Suryare, were opposed to al-Shabaab and were Ayrow's enemies.

THE COURT: So stipulated, Mr. Cole?

MR. COLE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: You can bring that forward, and we'll 1 2 mark it as a court exhibit at this time, court exhibit next 3 in order. 4 (Exhibit No. Court's 4 identified.) 5 MR. DRATEL: Can we approach for just a moment, 6 your Honor, just I think Mr. Cole and I, just a technical question. 7 (Following is a sidebar conference.) 8 9 MR. DRATEL: Because -- partially because we 10 reedited the Sheikalow and the government's, can we just 11 defer the actual provision of the DVDs to the Court till tomorrow and we get those straightened out so we don't have 12 13 to worry -- we can all rest and don't have to worry about 14 that particular thing. We can put it in on a thumb drive, but it won't be what the government has. 15 16 MR. COLE: We can put in that record later, okay? 17 We can --18 THE COURT: Absolutely. What you're asking is can 19 both sides completely rest subject to there being some 20 editing, agreed-upon editing to these last DVDs --21 MR. DRATEL: Yes, so that we can --22 THE COURT: Sure. You've all worked together so well on this, that shouldn't be a problem. Is there going to 23 24 be any rebuttal, Mr. Cole?

MR. COLE: No.

THE COURT: I'll let the jury go then and let them 1 2 know to come back next Tuesday, so I'll spend a few minutes 3 with them on that. We also need to -- I haven't mentioned 4 134 to them yet, so we'll have to do that as well. Okay. 5 (Sidebar conference concludes.) 6 THE COURT: Do all defendants rest at this time? 7 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, Mr. Moalin rests. 8 MS. MORENO: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Mohamud, 9 the defense rests. 10 MR. GHAPPOUR: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Doreh, 11 the defense rests. 12 MR. DURKIN: We rest as well. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cole, any rebuttal? 14 MR. COLE: No, your Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. Very good. Well, ladies 16 and gentlemen of the jury, we have concluded the evidence in 17 this case, so let me take just a few minutes and tell you 18 what our schedule is immediately ahead. First of all, before I do that, let me tell you 19 20 about one transcript that was introduced into evidence some 21 time ago, and if you'd like to take your transcript book up 22 just so that you can mark a note -- you're not required to 23 but if you wish to -- on transcript 134. I'll give you a 24 moment to get that notebook or get your notepad out.

Okay. It's a limiting instruction, ladies and

gentlemen, regarding Exhibit 134, and this transcript, this exhibit, is admissible only as to defendant Basaaly Moalin and may not be considered as evidence as to any of the remaining defendants in this case, and that limiting instruction applies only to Exhibit 134. And that's all I wanted to say on that subject --

Now, on scheduling. Both sides have rested, as you just heard. It will be necessary for the attorneys and the Court to get together on some matters that are properly discussed outside your presence so that we can get the case into final form to be argued to you. Before that happens obviously — well, I shouldn't say obviously — but before that happens, you will be instructed on the law that applies to this case. So that's the next thing that will happen for your purposes is you will receive your instructions as to the law. I actually read those instructions to you, and you're furnished a set of instructions — so you're going to have a lot of paper on this case ultimately when you retire — and then you'll hear the arguments in the case.

Now, the arguments are estimated to be about a day in length, give or take. Now, tomorrow counsel and I will be working on these other matters, as I mentioned to you, so it's going to be an open day for you; we will not be in session in this case tomorrow for your purposes. And remember that Friday, the 15th, was going to be a day that we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would not be in session on this case because of the calendar that is already prepared and must be addressed; many other cases are coming in for consideration on Friday. Monday is a holiday. So the next time we're all together on this case is going to be Tuesday, which ultimately works to our advantage in this sense. I wouldn't want to break up the deliberations on this case. For example, if the attorneys were forced to argue this case tomorrow, they might not all be available here for Friday because some of them may be involved with other matters on Friday because we're not in session here, and then the arguments might continue on until next Tuesday. Or if we were to get the case to you before, let's say by Friday so you could be deliberating on Friday, that would break up deliberations. So even though we have a long weekend ahead of us and you're going to be away from this case for a number of days, it's better that you hear the instructions and the argument or arguments all at once and that you begin your deliberations right after that without any limitations as to -- as to timing.

So that's the schedule from this point forward.

Any questions on the scheduling? Yes, Mr. Bilse?

JUROR BILSE: Not on scheduling, but I haven't been through this before and I'm not sure I know witness -- or the evidence we get -- we can ask for questions about that, but closing statements and your directions, are we going to be

able to get transcripts of what you say in the next couple of days? Are those --

in written form, so I'll be -- I'll be actually reading the instructions or the charge, I'll be giving you the law by reading it to you, and then you will each have your own packet of instructions, the very same instructions that I read. And so you're going to hear it -- you may see it at the same time, I don't know; it might be easier in this case for you to actually have the instructions before you in written form as I'm reading them to you; I'll think about that. But in any event, you'll hear them and then you will have your own set of instructions, which will track word for word what was read to you.

JUROR BILSE: Well, what about the closing statements? Are those -- those aren't evidence, so we don't get --

THE COURT: No.

JUROR BILSE: Can we ask for a transcript of what was said?

THE COURT: No. That is not evidence. And remember, arguments by the attorneys are not evidence, just as opening statements were not evidence and at other times when the attorneys spoke, addressed you, those times -- those statements are not evidence; those are opportunities for the

attorneys to, in the form of voir dire ultimately, when we were doing jury selection, to participate in that process, opening statements were to tell you where the case is going, and final arguments are for the purpose of marshaling all of the evidence before you, telling you what reasonable inferences you can draw from the evidence, and trying to persuade you to a particular point of view. But arguments are not evidence, and we do not -- we do not transcribe and send those arguments in with the jury. But a logical question for sure. Mr. Adams?

ALTERNATE JUROR ADAMS: So those of us that are alternates, do we come to all of this? And when the others deliberate, do we --

THE COURT: You're jumping the gun just a little bit on that one. Obviously the alternates, all three alternates, have been here for all sessions of court, you've all been very, very attentive, taking notes, following all of the evidence very carefully; I've made those observations. Obviously you'll be here for the jury instructions and for the arguments, and I'll confer with counsel on whether we're going to hold you in the jury lounge or make other arrangements for you. The alternates do not deliberate on the case unless an alternate is substituted in for a regular member of the jury who has been excused for some significant reason, so it is still entirely conceivable, possible, that

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one or, for that matter, all three alternates could be asked to step in and deliberate on this case. One of the reasons we had three alternates on the case was that it was a fairly lengthy case, a bit longer than typical, we're coming through the flu season -- I think you were all able to dodge that bullet, present company excluded here, but you all remained very healthy. And so we will have more for you on that score, Mr. Adams, on Tuesday when we're back again, and Mr. Brenzel, Ms. Clark, we'll obviously -- the information we provide will be for your benefit as well. If you are asked to substitute for an excused member of the jury, the substitution process is an orderly process; it takes -- it takes the -- you are substituted in the order in which you were selected as alternates, so Mr. Brenzel is our first alternate; you, Mr. Adams, are second; and Ms. Clark, our third. I hope that answers your question.

ALTERNATE JUROR ADAMS: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll work with the attorneys on exactly where you will be asked to wait and whether you can resume some semblance of normal activity while you are waiting. If you do -- if we do excuse you, it will be with certain conditions, and I'll go into those at that time.

Okay. Any other questions before we say goodbye for a period of some days here? Okay. Well, remember --

```
once again, remember the admonition. Please do not discuss
 1
 2
     this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else or allow
 3
    yourselves to form or express any opinions until the case has
 4
    been submitted to you. And also -- very important -- that
 5
    you not in any way expose yourself to any reporting in the
 6
    media or in any other fashion on the case or any subject
 7
    matter that has anything to do with the case.
 8
               So have a very pleasant four days or so, however
 9
     long it is, maybe a little bit longer. We'll see you next
10
     Tuesday -- next Tuesday -- at 9 a.m. Thank you.
11
          (The jury left the courtroom.)
12
               THE COURT: Okay. We are outside the presence of
13
     all jurors. Counsel, did you wish to renew your Rule 29
    motions?
14
15
               MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: I assume all attorneys on behalf of the
17
    respective defendants are doing so.
18
               MS. MORENO: Yes, your Honor, on behalf of Mr.
19
    Mohamud.
20
               MR. GHAPPOUR: On behalf of Mr. Doreh as well.
21
               MR. DURKIN: On behalf of Mr. Ahmed Nasir Taalil
22
    Mohamud.
               I also obligated am obligated to renew my Rule 14
23
    motion to sever.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Durkin.
25
    Mr. Cole?
```

MR. COLE: We oppose all motions.

THE COURT: Okay. The Rule 29 motions, and each of them, would be denied at this time, as would the Rule 14 severance motion of Mr. Durkin.

I'm going to -- well, I can do this at a later time, perhaps at the side of the bench. Jury instructions, what's the latest on that?

MR. DRATEL: Well, we're waiting for the government's version that -- based on our conference last -- yesterday.

MR. COLE: We emailed them at lunch, but they probably were already back in court, so they -- they have another set, and I think we're ready to set a time to see you tomorrow probably.

MR. DRATEL: I think, your Honor, because we're going to have to go print it, review it, discuss it, get back to the government, how about 11:00 or 11:30 if that makes sense. That gives us time in the morning to hammer out, you know, any final issues we have with the government.

THE COURT: Yeah. Things are really starting to fill in a little bit here, knowing that we weren't going to be in session in this case. I've a special set coming in at 11:30 in a significant matter; it won't take more than a half hour. I think our time, however, may be a little bit longer than just a short matter. I'm happy to meet you at one

o'clock and we can proceed --1 2 MR. DRATEL: Fine. 3 THE COURT: -- at that time. And I assume you'd be 4 waiving the appearance of your clients. 5 MR. DRATEL: I think so, your Honor. 6 MS. MORENO: Yes, your Honor. 7 MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes. 8 MR. DURKIN: Yes. 9 THE COURT: It's a lot easier that way. And, quite 10 frankly, I know there's been a very efficient division of 11 labor amongst defense counsel obviously along functional lines, and if we can meet with just a couple of emissaries or 12 13 representatives of the defense, that might really speed 14 things along. Everybody's certainly willing -- I mean free 15 to be there, to participate, but it's been my experience if 16 we can get the attorneys who are primarily involved in the 17 jury instructions from the defense side and Mr. Cole, I don't 18 know that everybody is required to be here. I'll leave that 19 up to you. The smaller the working group, the better. Just 20 want to make sure that whoever we have here is able to 21 authorize -- is a representative of all parties so that we 22 get this done once and for all, okay? 23 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, just have one 24 additional --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DRATEL: Based on the question from Juror No. 1 1 2 with respect to closing arguments --3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. DRATEL: -- it just occurred to me -- and I 5 didn't think this -- this needs to be done now, but Tuesday 6 that they can take notes certainly. 7 THE COURT: Oh, absolutely. MR. DRATEL: That they should be reminded to --8 9 THE COURT: You know, I encourage them to take 10 notes -- I encourage them to take notes during the 11 instructions if they wish to do that, knowing that they're 12 going to have their own set. As a matter of fact, as I 13 mentioned to the jury, I may just have them -- I always 14 provide them with a set for deliberations. I might provide them with a set while I'm actually instructing them unless 15 16 there are any real concerns about that. 17 MR. COLE: No, your Honor. 18 MR. DRATEL: And be reading along? 19 THE COURT: Yeah. It's a very conscientious jury. 20 MR. DRATEL: Very much. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So if we break now, that will 2.2 give you an opportunity to meet and confer perhaps further on 23 the matter of jury instructions, and then we can finish up 24 tomorrow let's say one o'clock and get all that done. Can I

see counsel over here the side of the bench for just a moment

on another matter. 1 2 MS. MORENO: All counsel, your Honor? 3 THE COURT: No, it's not necessary. Ms. Moreno, 4 you come up. I know you're liaison with the community. 5 (Following is a sidebar conference.) 6 THE COURT: You know, the spectators, the Somali 7 community, has been very, very respectful, very, very well 8 behaved, no problems there. But toward the end of the trial, I noticed that more and more of them -- you couldn't see this 9 10 as much; Mr. Durkin, you may have been able to see it -- but 11 more and more of the people were coming and going and coming 12 and going. They're very quiet, but they're getting a little 13 restless now as to getting up and leaving and coming back and 14 up and back. And so during argument, during the instructions 15 and arguments, perhaps you can let them know that once 16 they're here, be in their seats and don't leave unless they 17 really need to leave for their own comfort until we have a 18 recess. We'll be recessing from time to time, but I don't want a lot of movement. 19 20 MS. MORENO: I'm sure that the closing arguments 21 will be so compelling, your Honor, that no one will want to 22 budge. But I will communicate the message to the community.

MR. DRATEL: They were only reflecting what the

rest of us would of like do have done in the last couple of

23

24

25

days.

```
THE COURT: Well, respectfully, just let them know.
 1
 2
     I'm not in any way concerned or upset. They've been
 3
    wonderful --
 4
               MS. MORENO: Of course.
 5
               THE COURT: -- they're very quiet, and -- but it's
 6
    a lot of coming and going.
 7
               MS. MORENO: I will deliver that message.
 8
               THE COURT: That's all I wanted to say.
 9
               MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right. So we'll see you at one
11
     o'clock.
12
               MR. DURKIN: Judge, do we have to be dressed
13
     tomorrow if we come?
14
               THE COURT: I expect --
15
              MS. MORENO: In something.
16
               MR. COLE: Please, Tom.
17
               THE COURT: Expect to wear your big ten-gallon hat
18
    and hear your spurs jingling down the hall?
          (Sidebar conference concludes.)
19
20
               THE COURT: Counsel, just to follow up -- Gaby was
21
    just asking me a question -- it's my practice -- and maybe
22
    you should know this -- it's my practice when I'm charging
23
    the jury, once, I start, the doors are locked, and then
24
    they're unlocked as soon as I'm done, and that's when the
25
     other -- hopefully -- okay. Have a good evening.
```

```
Case 3:10-cr-04246-JM Document 445 Filed 02/03/14 Page 84 of 106 1747
           (There was a break in the proceedings for the evening
 1
 2
     recess.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

San Diego, California - Thursday, February 14, 2013

(The following proceedings were outside the presence of the jury.)

(The defendants were outside the presence of the defendants.)

THE COURT: Okay. We are on the record with the following counsel present: Messrs. Cole and Ward for the government and Dratel and Ghappour for the defense, with Messrs. Dratel and Ghappour being authorized to speak for all defense counsel on behalf of all defendants.

We have just completed a three-hour-and-15-minute conference on jury instructions off the record, and it is our purpose now to state on the record the instructions that will be given to the jury, for me to identify those instructions initially and hopefully to accurately summarize any objections or issues with jury instructions and thereafter to give all counsel an opportunity to be heard, to augment the record in the event I did not completely summarize the instructions and issues related to instructions.

The following instructions will be given. All references will be to the Ninth Circuit pattern instructions unless otherwise indicated, and if there is a significant modification of a Ninth Circuit jury instruction, I will so indicate.

First, Section 3.1, duty to follow the law; next,

```
3.2, charge against defendant not evidence, presumption of
 1
 2
    innocence, and burden of proof; next, 3.3, defendant's
 3
    decision not to testify; next, 3.5, reasonable doubt defined;
 4
    next, 3.6, what is evidence; next, 3.7, what is not evidence;
 5
    next, 3.8, direct and circumstantial evidence; next, 3.9,
 6
    credibility of witnesses; next, 2.4, stipulations of fact.
 7
               Next, 3.10, activities not charged; next, 3.13,
 8
    separate consideration of multiple counts, multiple
     defendants; next, jury to be guided by official English
 9
    interpretation with any references to translation or
10
     translators redacted; next, 2.8A, consideration of
11
    transcripts, with minor edits or modifications; next, 4.1 --
12
13
    no, we've eliminated 4.1, have we not, counsel, defendant has
14
    made a statement?
15
               MR. GHAPPOUR: That is my understanding, yes.
16
               THE COURT: Next, 4.14, opinion evidence.
17
               MR. COLE: Your Honor, actually, just to make sure,
18
     I thought that that was the one you suggested eliminating; it
19
    was one that Mr. Durkin in particular wanted --
20
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Oh, that's correct.
21
               MR. COLE: -- and we agreed you'd give it -- you
22
     agreed it would be given even though it wasn't --
23
               MR. WARD: Didn't seem --
24
               MR. COLE: Yeah.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. So 4.1, defendant's statement,
```

will be given. Next, 4.14, opinion evidence/expert witnesses or expert witness; next, 4.15, summaries not received in evidence; next, 4.16, charts and summaries in evidence; next, the substantive instructions will come for each count.

With respect to Count 1, an instruction entitled Conspiracy to Provide Material Support to Terrorists. It is adopted from 18 USC Section 2339A (a), and the parties are in agreement, with minor edits having been made.

Next would be from the Ninth Circuit model instructions an amalgamation of 8.20 and 8.23, conspiracy elements.

Next, an instruction entitled Additional

Definitions, which defines the following phrases or terms:

"Conspiracy to kill persons in a foreign country"; "Malice
aforethought"; "Conspiracy to use a weapon of mass
destruction outside the United States"; next, "Weapon of mass
destruction"; next, "Provide"; next, "Material support or
resources." Next, definition of knowingly, entitled

Knowingly, 5.6; next, with respect to Count 2 -- off the
record for a moment.

(Off-the-record discussion between the Court and counsel.)

THE COURT: Back on the record. The next jury instruction is entitled, Conspiracy to Provide Material Support to a Foreign Terrorist Organization, Count 2. It's

agreed upon with the modifications or edits that have been made. Next, an instruction -- I should say that the last instruction is based on 18 USC Section 2939B (a).

Next, we have an instruction entitled Providing

Material Support to a Foreign Terrorist Organization,

elements. And that's also based on 2339B.

Next is an instruction with respect to Count 3 entitled, Transporting Funds to Promote Unlawful Activity; it's Ninth Circuit instruction 8.148, and it will be given as modified. The defense objects -- has a limited objection to this; the defense objects to a lack of a connection in this instruction to Counts 2 and/or 5. Other than that, the defense is satisfied with the content of the instruction but they'll have an opportunity to raise any other concerns.

Next are instructions related to Count 4. The first is entitled Providing Material Support to Terrorists, elements. It's based on 2339A. It applies only to defendant Moalin.

Next, also with respect to Count 4, is an instruction entitled Attempt, predicated upon pattern instruction 5.3. There was a defense addition or supplement to this particular instruction, which I would decline.

Counsel, did you want to be heard at this point with respect to this issue?

MR. DRATEL: Which -- I can just do it; I can

```
capsulize it, your Honor, which is that we do not believe
 1
 2
     that you can aid and abet and attempt that --
 3
               THE COURT: This isn't aiding and abetting.
 4
     thought there was --
 5
               MR. DRATEL: Oh, I'm sorry.
 6
               THE COURT: I thought there was an issue -- we may
 7
    have cleared it up, but I thought you might have had a
 8
     concern or an objection to the present version of the attempt
 9
    statute -- excuse me -- the attempt instruction irrespective
     of --
10
11
               MR. DRATEL: Oh, yes, yes. I'm sorry, your Honor.
12
     Yes.
          We would add two --
13
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Just two lines, your Honor.
14
               MR. DRATEL: Yeah, two sentences. I have them
15
    here.
16
               MR. GHAPPOUR: The first sentence is Mere intention
17
    to commit the crime does not amount to an attempt, and the
18
    second sentence is Nor is a verbal agreement without more
19
    sufficient to establish an attempt.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. And I think the jury is
21
     adequately instructed on attempt through the pattern
22
    instructions; I would decline to give that. And I think that
23
    was the defense issue with respect to 5.3.
24
               Okay. Next, an instruction related to Count 5,
```

Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist

Organization, predicated upon 18 USC Section 2339B (a) (1) and (d) (1), and there's no issue with respect to this particular instruction.

Then there is a separate attempt instruction; it's entitled Attempt to Violate 18 USC 2339B, and I would -- I would suggest that rather than just having the statutory reference in the heading, it should be Attempt to Provide Material Support to a Foreign Terrorist Organization. Would you agree, counsel?

MR. DRATEL: That's fine.

2.2

MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's based on 5.3, once again, and that's agreed upon. Next will be an instruction pursuant to the request of the government; it's entitled Aiding and Abetting. And it's the government's position that aiding and abetting applies to the substantive offense of providing material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization or attempting to do so; in other words, one can aid and abet the attempt to do so.

I'm going to give the instruction over the objection of the defense. The defense has objected to the instruction on the basis that one cannot aid and abet an attempt. I'll let the government in a bit -- well, I'll let all counsel state their positions on that, but I think that fairly summarizes the objection here. I will give this

instruction, aiding and abetting once rather than giving it twice, and it will read as follows: A defendant may be found guilty of providing material support to the designated -- to a designated -- well, to the designated foreign terrorist organization al-Shabaab as charged in Count 5 of the indictment even if the defendant personally did not commit the act or acts constituting the crime but aided and abetted its commission.

Actually I neglected to add "or attempting to do so," so the first sentence should read: A defendant may be found guilty of providing material support to the designated foreign terrorist organization al-Shabaab, or attempting to do so, as charged in Count 5 of the indictment. Actually the phrase "or attempting to do so" should be following the phrase "as charged in Count 5 of the indictment" even if the defendant personally did not commit the act or acts constituting the crime but aided and abetted in its commission.

Continuing on, In order for the defendant you are considering to be found guilty of aiding and abetting, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: On or about April 23, 2008, the crime of providing material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization, or attempting to do so, was committed by someone; the defendant knowingly aided and counseled,

commanded, induced, and procured that person to commit each element of providing material support to a foreign terrorist organization, or attempted to do so; and the defendant acted before the crime was committed, with all of you unanimously agreeing that the defendant aided and abetted the completion of material support, or the attempt to do so, or both. And then if it follows the standard language: It is not enough that defendant merely associated with the person committing the crime, et cetera, et cetera, and the following remaining language is agreed to if the instruction is to be given.

MR. COLE: Your Honor, could I ask just one question? Did you say -- when you added the "attempted to do so" at the end of the second element, did you say "or attempting" or "attempted"? Do you have it written down as "attempting" or "attempted." It should be "or attempting to do so."

THE COURT: I have "or attempting to do so."

MR. COLE: Okay. I just misheard it. Sorry.

THE COURT: Next, an instruction entitled

Definitions of Foreign Terrorist Organization and Terrorist

Activity; relates to Counts 2, 3, and 5 and defines the term

"foreign terrorist organization," for our purposes, the term

"terrorist activity," and the term "engage in terrorist

activity," and finally, the term "terrorism." It's agreed

upon.

The closing instructions will be given; they're Ninth Circuit pattern instructions 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, and 7.6.

There are some special instructions that have been provided by the defense. First of all, it's my understanding that the parties are going to be working on a special instruction which advises -- it's not a special instruction; it's an affirmative defense -- which advises the jury that -- well, of the First Amendment rights of association and speech but placed in context with this case with references to what is permitted under the First Amendment and advising the jury that the First Amendment would not protect speech, which consists of providing material support to terrorists, a terrorist organization, or a 956 violation. So counsel are going to be working on that.

There was also submitted an affirmative defense or theory of defense instruction related to defense of others. The defense concern was that it could have relevance if the government argues a certain theory of the case under the 956 count. The government has indicated that it does not intend to make such an argument which could conceivably trigger the defense of others instruction. I've told counsel that I will hold this instruction in abeyance; I will not -- I'm not inclined to give it at this point.

Additionally, there are three other theory of

defense instructions that have been agreed upon by the 1 2 parties as appropriate for Counts 1 and 3, 2 and 4, and then 3 5. I think each of the --4 MR. DRATEL: And 2 and 5 and then 4. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. There's one instruction for 6 Counts 1 and 3, one instruction for Counts 2 and 5, then a 7 separate instruction for Count 4. The parties seem to have agreed on the content of each of those instructions. 8 9 The only other issue I think is pending right now is the defense request that the term "willfully" be defined 10 11 for the jury by separate instruction and/or the term itself 12 included in one or more of the instructions, the pattern 13 instructions, where it does not appear at this time. 14 With that, Mr. Cole, any further observations, 15 objections, points to be made? 16 MR. COLE: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Messrs. Ghappour and Dratel? 18 MR. GHAPPOUR: Your Honor, as a preliminary matter, just the defense theory or defense contention instructions. 19 20 There are actually four, and that is because Count 2 and 21 Count 5 each have their own contention due to the fact that 2.2 defendant Nasir, defendant number 4, is not charged in Count 23

THE COURT: Okay. I'm counting on the two sides to

work together just as soon as possible so that the

24

agreed-upon language in these separate theory of defense instructions can be provided to me and I can get them typed up in a manner consist with all the other instructions, so I'd appreciate your cooperation in that regard.

MR. DRATEL: Go ahead.

MR. GHAPPOUR: Your Honor, just one more note on the triggering theory by the government of the self-defense instruction. It's the defense's position that any argument that provision of support to a non-al-Shabaab entity for the purpose of carrying out -- carrying on furthering a conspiracy to kill in violation of 18 USC Section 956 would trigger the self-defense instruction. That is a work in progress at the moment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DRATEL: Well, your Honor, just briefly. With respect to willfully, the term appears in the conspiracy and also with respect to malice aforethought, and we don't believe it's superfluous. We think it needs to be identified and defined and particularly with respect to 2339A, many courts have recognized it as having a higher scienter requirement, and that -- so we think that that's why it needs to be separately defined in this case.

With respect to Count 3, tracking the indictment, we believe that to essentially if the government is permitted to -- if it's not tracked and those predicates are sort of

free-floating, in a way that would amend the indictment.

With respect to the -- also with respect to defense of others instruction that we've requested, I don't believe the government can foreclose an avenue of defense simply by saying that we are -- that the defense is restricted to arguing humanitarian aid when the evidence is ambiguous with respect to certain aspects and also with respect to the fact that several witnesses have testified, in our view, to the need for self-defense in Somalia by individuals and localities.

THE COURT: I don't think any individual -- now you -- when we were discussing this informally, I think you indicated that Mr. Bryden, the expert witness called by the government, had given testimony in support of defense of others. I don't believe Mr. Bryden's evidence establishes the defense of others affirmative defense. I know that Mr. Cole spoke earlier on that. I think Mr. Cole should state his position with respect to that and specifically whether he feels that Bryden's testimony would -- would qualify as a predicate for this particular instruction. In my view, self-defense or defense of others just -- or I should say the evidence in this case just falls leagues short of the elements of self-defense or defense of others. There's no -- there's no evidentiary basis for giving that theory of defense, which really amounts to an affirmative defense. Mr.

Cole?

MR. COLE: The government agrees with what the

Court has just stated. We don't think there's been any prima

facie showing that would remotely justify a self-defense or

defense of others instruction. There has been, at best,

general statements that there's a conflict, and there was

conflict. None of that was ever tied remotely to any

provision of money by the defendants to -- in other words,

there was never anything, any testimony provided the

defendant -- that the defendants intended when they sent

money to Somalia, that they were defending someone in

particular, lawfully defending someone in particular from a

violent attack. And so we just would agree with what the

Court just stated on the record.

THE COURT: Okay. Once again, I'm going to ask counsel to get these last few instructions to me, these theory of defense instructions to me so that we can start working on them as soon as possible. And what I'd like to do -- I understand, Mr. Dratel, you're not going to be available tomorrow, you're going to be --

MR. DRATEL: Correct.

THE COURT: -- leaving tomorrow, Friday, and not available. Mr. Ghappour, you will be here. It's my understanding you will be here with full authority on behalf of the entire defense community to make any final decisions

```
with respect to jury instructions. Also, I would go over the
 1
 2
     form of verdict, the verdict form that will be used. It will
 3
    be a general verdict form with respect to each of the
 4
     defendants, but I'd like you to see that as well.
 5
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you have something,
 7
    Mr. Ghappour?
 8
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Yeah, I had a question on the aiding
 9
    and abetting and attempt. I can't find it. There it is.
10
     Okay. Just on page 53, 52 going on to 53, the second
11
     element --
12
               THE COURT: We moved those pages, didn't we? Yeah.
               MR. COLE: So the aiding and abetting instruction
13
14
     is -- jumped behind the attempt instruction.
15
               THE COURT: What's your question --
16
              MR. GHAPPOUR: Well, your Honor --
17
               THE COURT: -- on aiding and abetting?
18
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Yeah. The element number 2 as it's
19
     currently stated states the defendant knowingly and
20
    intentionally aided, counseled, commanded, induced, or
21
    produced that person --
22
               THE COURT: -- to commit each element of providing
23
    material support to a foreign terrorist organization or
24
    attempting to do so.
25
              MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes. Okay. I just wanted to make
```

sure. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So I've got a special 3 instruction for Counts 1 and 3, a special instruction for 4 Count 2, a special instruction for Count 4, a special 5 instruction for Count 5, all agreed upon. 6 MR. COLE: If I could just see it real quickly, 7 your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Yes. MR. COLE: Your Honor, with the exception of a 9 10 couple minor typos we corrected that I saw there, we have no 11 objection to those contentions. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll get busy on them. 13 And when can I see you, Mr. Ghappour? 14 MR. GHAPPOUR: Tomorrow? 15 THE COURT: Yes. What I'd like to do is have a --16 have everything ready for you, a packet to give to you 17 sometime in the early to midafternoon, and then have you 18 provide to me what you've worked out with the government on the First Amendment matter. 19 20 MR. GHAPPOUR: Okay. 21 THE COURT: I think that's the only thing that's 22 left at this point. 23 MR. GHAPPOUR: And so does the government need to 24 come as well, do we need to confer and come up with a time

25

for you guys --

```
MR. COLE: Just tell me when you want us here, and
 1
 2
    we'll --
 3
               THE COURT: Well, I've got a -- I tell you. Would
 4
     you like to work here? You're certainly -- or maybe in your
 5
     office. I've got a full calendar. I'm going to have a
 6
     courtroom full of lawyers --
 7
               MR. COLE: All we need to do is bring you an agreed
 8
     First Amendment instruction?
 9
               THE COURT: Yeah. You can do it.
              MR. COLE: That's all we need to do, right?
10
11
               THE COURT: You can do it.
12
              MR. COLE: We're going to work on it this evening.
13
              MR. DRATEL: We'll have a draft to the government
14
     to see --
15
               THE COURT: Yeah. Tell you what, work on a verdict
16
     form as well.
17
               MR. COLE: Okay.
18
               THE COURT: I've already got one kind of fisted out
    but, you know, work on a verdict form.
19
20
               MR. COLE: Okay.
21
               THE COURT: You're going to have to provide for a
22
     couple of alternatives with respect to the attempt, you know,
23
    because if they find on the substantive, guilty on the
24
    substantive, they don't move to the attempt.
25
              MR. COLE: Right, yes.
```

```
THE COURT: And then, you know, they may --
 1
 2
               MR. COLE: Okay.
 3
               THE COURT: -- they may not have to move to aiding
 4
    and abetting.
 5
               MR. COLE: Do your verdicts tend to look similar in
 6
    all your cases? If I go back and pull one of your old
 7
    verdict forms -- or do you each -- let the parties each time
 8
     kind of -- I just want to go with what you use --
 9
               THE COURT: No, no. I've got --
10
               MR. COLE: So I'll go pull some Judge Miller case
11
    and just --
12
               THE COURT: Must be a thick file by now.
13
               MR. COLE: Yeah. Let's see here. Do I have --
14
               THE COURT: I know this head looks like it's worn
15
     out two bodies, but don't -- don't be misled by that.
16
               MR. COLE: No, no.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18
          (There was a break in the proceedings for the evening
19
    recess.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

San Diego, California - Friday, February 15, 2013

(The following proceedings were outside the presence of the jury.)

(The following proceedings were outside the presence of the defendants.)

THE COURT: Okay. We are together here on the record after having met once again informally on jury instructions just to finalize the jury instructions. The final version of jury instructions has been prepared by the Court, provided to counsel. They conform to what was agreed upon yesterday. Any issues regarding jury instructions were placed on the record yesterday and are still extant.

One additional jury instruction was agreed upon by all parties. It is a special instruction. It will be entitled Conspiracy-Expression of Political Views, and it will be placed between the jury instruction which is a combination of 8.20 and 8.23 of the Ninth Circuit pattern instructions, conspiracy elements and a special instruction entitled Additional definitions.

The new instruction, entitled Conspiracy-Expression of Political Views, will read as follows: Proof that people simply met together from time to time and talked about common interests, such as political views or religious beliefs, or engaged in similar conduct is not sufficient to establish a criminal agreement. New paragraph -- no, same paragraph.

```
Such association or speech, standing alone, is protected by
 1
 2
     the First Amendment. However, forming an agreement to engage
 3
    in criminal activity -- in contrast with talking about
 4
    religious or political beliefs or events -- is not protected
 5
     speech. Moreover, in determining whether the government has
 6
    proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt, you may consider
 7
    speech for whatever evidentiary value you find it deserves.
 8
    End of instruction. And that is -- will be given with the
     concurrence of all parties. Correct, counsel?
 9
10
              MS. MORENO: Yes, your Honor.
11
              MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor.
12
               MR. COLE: Yes.
13
               THE COURT: Very good. We have also -- and I
14
     should say that any other special instructions submitted by
     defense counsel relative to the First Amendment are
15
16
     withdrawn; is that correct?
17
              MR. GHAPPOUR: That is correct, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Moreno?
19
               MS. MORENO: Yes, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. We have also agreed on a verdict
21
     form; it need not be recited here, but the verdict form that
22
     will be utilized is acceptable to all parties in the case.
23
    And I think that that is it.
               MR. COLE: Okay. Thank you very much, your Honor.
24
25
```

THE COURT: Okay. I will -- as indicated, I will

furnish the jury instructions to the jury while I'm reading the jury instructions. I will tell them that they are free to follow along as I'm reading -- not to read ahead but to stay up with me -- if they wish to follow along in writing as I'm reading the instructions. However, if they prefer to just listen to the instructions as I'm giving them, they're free to do that as well and just set the written instructions aside. Okay. All right. Well, you all get plenty of sleep and other rest, and we will see you on Tuesday --MR. COLE: Yes. THE COURT: -- at about 9 a.m. Thank you, counsel. (There was a break in the proceedings for the weekend recess.) 

1	<u>Index</u>			
2	Witnesses Abdisalam Yus	<u>Pa</u>	Page	
3	Direct Examina		1667	
4	Cross-Examination Redirect Examination	17	1711 1716 1720	
5	Recross-Examin			
6	Hassan Guled (Video) 1729			29
7		<u>Exhibits</u>		
8	Exhibits JJ	Description Emoil (Headen enly)	For ID 1688	In Evd 1690
9	KK	Email (Header only) Photograph	1691	1691
10	NN UU	Photo Photograph	1695 1698	1698
11	VV WW	Photograph Photograph	1698 1698	1698 1698
12	RR PP	Photograph Photograph	1701 1701	1701 1701
13	QQ Court's 4	Stipulation	1734	1701
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

## Certificate of Reporter I hereby certify that I am a duly appointed, qualified, and acting Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the mentioned cause on the date or dates listed on the title page of the transcript; and that the format used herein complies with the rules and requirements of the United States Judicial Conference. Dated January 22, 2014 at San Diego, California. /s/ Debra M. Henson (electronic) Debra M. Henson Official Court Reporter